IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND MATERIALS d/b/a ASTM INTERNATIONAL;

NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION ASSOCIATION, INC.; and

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF HEATING, REFRIGERATING, AND AIR CONDITIONING ENGINEERS,

Plaintiffs/Counter-defendants,

v.

PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG, INC.,

Defendant/Counterclaimant.

Case No. 1:13-cv-01215-TSC

PUBLIC RESOURCE'S STATEMENT OF DISPUTED FACTS IN OPPOSITION TO [198] PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND A PERMANENT INJUNCTION

[REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT FILED UNDER SEAL]

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(h), Public.Resource.Org, Inc. ("Public Resource") submits in support of its second motion for summary judgment and opposition to Plaintiffs' second motion for summary judgment and permanent injunction a statement of disputed facts to be tried:

I	Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
I.	PLAINTIFFS' OWN COPYRIGHTS IN THE 217 STANDARDS AT ISSUE IN THIS MOTION ASTM's Copyrighted Works	Disputed. Plaintiffs do not own copyrights in the 217 standards at issue in Plaintiffs' motion. Plaintiffs did not draft the standards— volunteers did. SSSMF ¶ 184. The standards are not works made for hire, refuting the basis for ownership asserted in Plaintiffs' copyright registrations. Plaintiffs did not obtain valid copyright assignments for the standards. And although Plaintiffs now attempt to assert that the standards are joint works and that they are joint owners of the copyrights, federal government employees drafted the standards in the scope of their duties, and so no copyright ownership can exist in the standards at issue are not subject to copyright. SSSMF ¶ 196- 224.
obta cove Decl here 148;	Plaintiffs Own Copyrights In The 217 dards At Issue In This Motion. ² ASTM has ined copyright registration certificates that rr its 191 standards at issue in this motion. aration of Jane W. Wise, filed concurrently with, ("Wise Decl.") ¶¶ 2, 31-149, Exs. 30- Declaration of Thomas O'Brien, previously at Dkt. 118-7, ("O'Brien Decl.") ¶¶ 5-12, 1-4.	Disputed. Plaintiffs do not own copyrights in the 217 standards at issue in Plaintiffs' motion. Plaintiffs did not draft the standards— volunteers did. SSSMF ¶ 184. The standards are not works made for hire, refuting the basis for ownership asserted in Plaintiffs' copyright registrations. Plaintiffs did not obtain valid copyright assignments for the standards. And although Plaintiffs now attempt to assert that the standards are joint works and that they are joint owners of the copyrights, federal government employees drafted the standards in the scope of their duties, and so no copyright ownership can exist in the standards due to 17 U.S.C. 105. Moreover, the standards at issue are not subject to copyright. SSSMF ¶ 196-

² The 217 standards at issue in Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment are collectively referred to herein as the "Works" or "Plaintiffs' Works."

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	224.
2. Specifically, ASTM is the claimant for the works appearing in Annex A (collectively, the "ASTM Standards"). Wise Decl. ¶¶ 2, 31-150, Exs. 30-149; O'Brien Decl. ¶¶ 5- 12, Exs. 1-4.	
3. Each and every standard, edition, title, year of the Annual ASTM Book of Standards ("BOS"), and copyright registration number appearing in Annex A is true and correct as shown in the corresponding exhibits. Wise Decl. ¶¶ 2-150, Exs.1-149; O'Brien Decl. ¶¶ 5-12, Exs. 1-4.	Disputed to the extent that ASTM's copyright registrations falsely state that it owns the copyright to anything other than a thin compilation of the standards, and disputed to the extent that ASTM's copyright registrations otherwise falsely assert that the standards are works made for hire. SSSMF ¶ 196-224.
4. The specified edition of each ASTM Standard identified in Annex A was originally published in the BOS (identified by year) in the corresponding row of Annex A. Wise Decl. ¶¶ 2- 33, 35-57, 59-137, 139-150, Exs. 1-32, 34-56, 58-136, 138-149; O'Brien Decl. ¶¶ 7-12, Exs. 3- 4.	
5. Each BOS identified in Annex A is the subject of the copyright registration identified in the corresponding row of Annex A. Wise Decl. ¶¶ 2-33, 35-57, 59-137, 139-150, Exs. 1-32, 34-56, 58-136, 138-149; O'Brien Decl. ¶¶ 7-12, Exs. 3-4.	
6. For each ASTM Standard where no BOS is identified, the standard is the subject of the copyright registration identified in the corresponding row of Annex A. Wise Decl. ¶¶ 34, 58, 138, Exs. 33, 57, 137; O'Brien Decl. ¶¶ 5-6, Exs. 1-2.	Disputed to the extent that ASTM's copyright registrations falsely assert that the standards are works made for hire or that ASTM owns any copyright in the standards at issue. SSSMF ¶ 196-224.
7. The registrations whose numbers appear in bold Annex A were effective within 5 years of the date of first publication identified in the registration certificate. Wise Decl. ¶¶ 2-33, 35-	

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
57, 59-65, 67-149, Exs. 1-32, 34-56, 58-148; O'Brien ¶¶ 7-11, Exs. 3-4.	
8. The published version of each ASTM Standard includes a copyright notice alerting the public to the fact that the copyright is owned by ASTM. O'Brien Decl. ¶ 11.	Disputed. The majority of standards that ASTM published do not bear copyright notices on each standard. See, e.g., ASTM A36-1977ae; ASTM D396-1998 (Exhibit 8 to the O'Brien Declaration, ECF No. 118-7); ASTM D4329-1999. The exhibits attached to the O'Brien declaration appear to be more recent printings of previous ASTM standards that have subsequently had an ASTM copyright notice affixed, when no such copyright notice appeared on earlier publications of the standard. Compare O'Brien Exhibit 9 (ASTM D1217- 1993(1998)) with the version of ASTM D1217-1993(1998) that Public Resource purchased, scanned, and produced in discovery. M. Becker Decl. ¶ 149 Ex. 151. Only in recent years has ASTM affixed a copyright notice to each ASTM standard. This copyright notice does not alert the public (or individuals who participated in the creation of the standards) what material ASTM claims copyright over, such as the entire standard, or simply the formatting used for the final print version. Moreover, this is not a fact, it is an opinion. Mr. O'Brien lacks personal knowledge of what information the public derives from the existence of copyright notices on ASTM standards, and he is not qualified as an expert.
NFPA's Copyrighted Works	Disputed. The NFPA standards at issue are not subject to copyright.
9. NFPA has obtained copyright registration certificates for its 23 standards at issue in this motion, each within five years of publication. Declaration of Dennis J. Berry, previously filed at Dkt. 118-3, ("Berry Decl.") ¶¶ 2-3, Exs. A-B;	Disputed to the extent that NFPA's copyright registrations falsely state that the standards are works made for hire or that NFPA owns any copyright in the standards at issue. SSSMF ¶ 196-224.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
Supplemental Declaration of James Pauley Declaration, filed concurrently herewith, ("Supp. Pauley Decl.") ¶¶ 6-24, Exs. W-OO (certificates of registration).	
ASHRAE's Copyrighted Works	Disputed. The ASTM standards at issue are not subject to copyright.
10. ASHRAE has obtained copyright registration certificates for its 3 standards at issue in this motion, each within five years of publication. Declaration of Stephanie Reiniche, previously filed at Dkt. 118-10, ("Reiniche Decl.") ¶ 15, Exs. 3-5.	Disputed to the extent that ASHRAE's copyright registrations falsely state that the standards are works made for hire or that ASHRAE properly owns any copyright in the standards at issue. SSSMF ¶ 196-224.
II. PRO'S ONGOING INFRINGEMENT OF PLAINTIFFS' WORKS PRO'S Postings To Internet Archive After Remand From the D.C. Circuit	Disputed. Public Resource has not infringed any works owned by Plaintiffs.
11. Following the D.C. Circuit remand in July 2018, Defendant Public.Resource.Org ("PRO") "reposted the standards at issue to the Internet Archive" website. Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 22.	
12. PRO had previously, at the Court's suggestion (and prior to the injunction), removed Plaintiffs' standards from its website and the Internet Archive website in November 2015. SMF ¶ 186.	Disputed but not material. Public Resource removed the standards at issue because if it did not, the Court would have instituted a summary judgment filing schedule in both this litigation and the sister AERA et al. litigation that would have made it impossible for Public Resource to defend itself, relying on pro bono counsel while the plaintiffs in both cases were represented by five law firms.
A. PRO Did Not Fix The Errors In Its Versions of Plaintiffs' Standards	Disputed for the reasons addressed below.
13. During his deposition, Mr. Malamud claimed that if he were notified of any mistakes,	

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
he would do a rigorous quality assurance check and correct any mistakes. SMF ¶ 217 (citing Declaration of Jordana Rubel, previously filed at Dkt. 118-12, ("Rubel Decl."). ¶ 6, Ex. 3 (C. Malamud Dep. at 140:19-25).	
14. Well over three years have passed since Plaintiffs notified Mr. Malamud of errors in his postings. Nonetheless, PRO never corrected most of these mistakes, instead reposting versions of standards which he previously admitted were not acceptable. SMF ¶ 216 (citing Rubel Decl. ¶ 6, Ex. 3 (C. Malamud Dep. at 140:19-141:6)).	Disputed. Mr. Malamud corrected each of the errors identified at his deposition and at the deposition of Public Resource. SSSMF ¶ 178.
15. For example, during Mr. Malamud's deposition, Plaintiffs notified him that the HTML version of ASTM D86-07 he had posted contained a number of errors, including text and numbers that differ from the information in the authentic versions of Plaintiffs' standards. SMF ¶ 215 (citing Rubel Decl. ¶ 6, Ex. 3 (C. Malamud Dep. at 127:4-139:8)).	Disputed to the extent that these are not errors in the image that a person reads. These are instances where the optical character recognition software that scanned the text failed to recognize certain characters correctly. When a sighted person pulls up the page, he or she will see the correct text, but a computer may not read it correctly.
 16. PRO has not fixed those errors to its HTML version of ASTM D86-07. Wise Decl. ¶ 166, Ex. 165. 	Disputed to the extent that these are not errors in the image that a person reads. These are instances where the optical character recognition software that scanned the text failed to recognize certain characters correctly. When a sighted person pulls up the page, he or she will see the correct text, but a computer may not read it correctly.
17. Plaintiffs also alerted PRO to a number of errors in the HTML posting of the 2011 edition of the National Electrical Code ("NEC") that distort the meaning of substantive provisions of the standard that were written to protect human safety and prevent property damage, including but not limited to erroneously using the letter "M" (an abbreviation for meters) rather than the letters "I" and "N" (an abbreviation for inches).	Disputed to the extent that these are not errors in the image that a person reads. These are instances where the optical character recognition software that scanned the text failed to recognize certain characters correctly. When a sighted person pulls up the page, he or she will see the correct text, but a computer may not read it correctly.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
SMF ¶ 219 (citing Declaration of James Pauley filed at Dkt. 118-8, ("Pauley Decl.") ¶ 54).	
18. PRO has not reposted its version of the 2011 NEC in HTML form, but almost all of those precise errors remain in its Full Text posting of the 2011 NEC. Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 39; Wise Decl. ¶ 167, Ex. 166.	Disputed to the extent that these are not errors in the image that a person reads. These are instances where the optical character recognition software that scanned the text failed to recognize certain characters correctly. When a sighted person pulls up the page, he or she will see the correct text, but a computer may not read it correctly.
19. PRO has not made meaningful changes to the process it previously used to rekey text, convert graphics, reset mathematical formulas or otherwise make change its quality control measures. Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 22.	Disputed. Public Resource provided a five- page response to Plaintiffs' interrogatory no. 22, detailing all of the changes that Public Resource has made to its process and the documents that it posts online, and linking to three documents that Public Resource produced that discuss the process it now uses for documents it posts online. Dkt. 198-48 at p. 27-32. Among several issues, Public Resource described its use of cryptographic signatures for verification, and its use of errata for correcting errors that were in the versions of standards as published by NFPA. <i>Id</i> .
20. PRO acknowledges that Plaintiffs (or governmental authorities), not PRO, are the definitive source for accurate copies of the Plaintiffs' standards by directing readers "to check with the standards organizations or governmental authorities for further information and access to definitive versions of these important laws." <i>See, e.g.</i> , Wise Decl. ¶ 168, Ex. 167.	Disputed: Public Resource acknowledges that Plaintiffs are the definitive source of the standards, but to the extent the standards have been corrected or amended without the corrections or amendments being incorporated by reference into law they are not definitive versions of the laws.
A. PRO Continues To Use Plaintiffs' Marks	Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs refer to any use other than nominative fair use.
21. PRO stated that it removed all of Plaintiffs' logos from PRO's copies of Plaintiffs' Works. Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 22.	

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
22. As depicted below, PRO has in some instances "blacked out" Plaintiffs' logos, but has typed Plaintiffs' word marks, combined with the phrase "Logo Removed," over the redacted area.	Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs suggest that this constitutes a "logo," or that Public Resource has engaged in anything other than nominative fair use by referring to the fact that it redacted the ASTM logo.
Wise Decl. Wise Decl. 153, Ex. 152 at 180.	
23. However, Internet Archive postings show that not all of Plaintiffs' logos have been removed, and PRO has not removed Plaintiffs' word marks. For example, PRO has not removed the NEC logo for at least the 2011 and 2014 editions of the NEC or any of the word marks:	Disputed to the extent that the NEC logo is not a logo of Plaintiffs' name, and the instances Plaintiffs complain of are instances where the logo is used in decorative fashion, not as a source identifier.
N F P A 7 0° N	
Wise Decl. ¶ 169, Ex. 168; Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 3	52.
24. Similarly, PRO's Internet Archive postings continue in some instances to display the ASTM Logo, as depicted below.	Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs have only identified a single incident where Public Resource overlooked an ASTM logo.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
An American National Standard Example 1 Example 1 Standard Test Method for Distillation of Petroleum Products at Atmospheric Pressure¹ This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 86; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval A superscript epsilon (€) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval. This standard has been approved for use by agencies of the Department of Defense. Wise Decl. ¶ 166, Ex. 165	
25. And even where PRO has redacted the ASTM logo, PRO has not in any instance redacted the ASTM word mark. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152.	Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs incorrectly imply that Public Resource had said it had redacted ASTM's name from the incorporated documents.
B. PRO Changes To Its "Disclaimers" Are Insufficient	Disputed for the reasons addressed below; moreover, this is argument and not a statement of fact.
26. PRO's "disclaimers" take three forms. The first appears on the cover page of PDF copies of Plaintiffs' Works posted by PRO, as depicted below.	
************************************	Image: Additional additi
27. The second disclaimer appears "below the fold" of the Internet Archive webpage; a reader	Disputed to the extent that the portion of the second disclaimer that is visible as soon as the

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
must scroll past the PDF copy of the standard to see the disclaimer at all. Wise Decl. ¶ 168, Ex. 167 at 6.	page is loaded depends on the size of the viewer's computer screen and the dimensions of the browser window.
28. Finally, PRO's HTML-format copies of Plaintiffs' standards—which are available for download on the Internet Archive Website— contain the following "disclaimer," in the form of a "PREAMBLE (NOT PART OF THE STANDARD)":	
development equilation. For the participal this document, Please new that the participal this document has been religient to Experimine optim and obligations confer the laws of the Datied Status.	and world peaks, this logal document is bandle made available on a noncommental basis, so it is the right of all business to beyow and (10)) of the heavened Rowman Cales Public Research is not allikely with, nor has it reversed autoritation iron, my maximum
29. This "disclaimer" appears in approximately the same typeface and size as the rest of the document. <i>Id</i> .	
III.PRO'S FAILURE TO FOLLOW THE D.C. CIRCUIT'S DECISIONPRO's Essentially Did Nothing In Response To The D.C. Circuit Decision	Disputed. Plaintiffs' statement is argumentative and dismisses the work that Public Resource performed after the Court of Appeals' decision.
30. Plaintiffs' interrogatories asked PRO to identify the authority and portions of standards that it contended impose binding legal obligations; but PRO did not do any analysis to determine "with specificity each portion(s) of the Standard at Issue that PRO asserts imposes a legal obligation on an individual or entity." <i>See generally</i> Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrogs. 17-21.	Disputed. Public Resource identified where in federal or state regulations each standard at issue had been incorporated into law in their entirety (as opposed to incorporations of parts of those documents), and the complete document is therefore binding law. Plaintiffs' interrogatory requests called for legal conclusions, and although Plaintiffs may disagree with Public Resource on the scope and effect of the law, that does not mean that Public Resource "did not do any analysis."
31. PRO disavowed any obligation to do any analysis of the standards to support its fair use defense: "The entirety of each standard listed [at issue in this litigation] is incorporated by	Disputed. Public Resource performed an analysis and determined that where the entire standard document had been made law through incorporation, unlike instances where just a

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
reference into the law. Public Resource is not an attorney and does not provide legal advice, and cannot provide advice regarding what legal obligations an individual or entity may face as a result of hundreds of different federal, state, and local laws." Wise Decl.¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19.	portion of a standard document is made law, then providing the entirety of the law is warranted.
32. In response to Plaintiffs' interrogatories regarding PRO's basis for copying and distributing every portion of Plaintiffs' standards "verbatim," PRO explained that "[t]he entirety of each standard [at issue in this litigation] is incorporated by reference into the law, and it is therefore necessary to reproduce the entire standard verbatim in order to accurately state what the law is." Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 21. ³	Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs footnote no. 3 raises an issue that is not before this Court. Plaintiffs never asked Public Resource whether it would post a standard that is referenced within a standard that is made law through incorporation, and Public Resource has never posted a standard on this basis or asserted that it would.
A. PRO Has Failed to Identify Correct Citations That Incorporate The Works By Reference Incorrect Citations re: ASTM's Standards	Disputed. Plaintiffs' statement is argumentative and dismisses the work that Public Resource performed to identify the incorporations.
33. ASTM standards are reviewed on a 5 year schedule. Declaration of James Thomas, previously filed at Dkt. 118-11, ("James Thomas Decl.") ¶ 33. ASTM publishes an Annual Book of ASTM Standards that is composed of a number of volumes and includes the then-current version of each of its standards. O'Brien Decl. ¶ 7.	
34. Each ASTM standard has a unique designation comprised of a capital letter classification A-G which designates the general classification of the standard (<i>e.g.</i> , standards	

³ Standards often incorporate other standards by reference. *See infra* ¶ 69. PRO's answer does not explain how it would distinguish between standards that are incorporated by reference directly by a regulation and the numerous additional standards that are incorporated by reference within the standards of other related standards.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
beginning with "A" address ferrous metals). O'Brien Decl. Ex. 3 at 1349.	
35. In each serial designation, the number following the dash indicates the year of original adoption as a standard, or the year of the standard's last revision. <i>Id.</i> Standards that have been reapproved without change are indicated by the year of last reapproval in parentheses as part of the designation number (<i>e.g.</i> , C5-79 (1997) indicates that C5 was reapproved in 1997). <i>Id.</i> A letter following this number indicates more than one revision during that year (<i>e.g.</i> , A106-04b indicates the second revision in 2004 to A106). <i>Id.</i> A superscript epsilon indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval (<i>e.g.</i> , A36-97a ^{e1} indicates the first editorial revision of the 1997 version of A36). <i>Id.</i> If a standard is written in acceptable metric units, the metric version is indicates that this version of A389 contains metric units). <i>Id.</i> When ASTM publishes standards in metric and inch-pound units it identifies the standard with a dual designation (<i>e.g.</i> , ASTM A369/A369M-92 identifies a dual standard). <i>Id.</i> Regulations like the Code of Federal Regulations typically identify ASTM standards according to this specific designation number. For example, 40 C.F.R. § 114.600 specifies the edition of the ASTM standards incorporated by reference in 46 C.F.R. § 119.440, including B122/B122M- 95 and B96-93. <i>See</i> 40 C.F.R. § 114.600.	
36. As discussed in detail below, PRO has reproduced and displayed at least 92 standards that have not been incorporated by the regulation(s) it identifies in its cover sheets:	Disputed. For 75 of the 92 ASTM standards identified below, Plaintiffs previously admitted in their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 that these editions of these standards were incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs cannot now deny their prior sworn statement. Incorporations for the standards at issue can be found at the IBR Reference Tables at Becker Decl. ¶¶ 56-58,

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	Exs. 89-91.
	Plaintiffs appear to be using a sleight of hand. Because the standards at issue were posted years ago, before this lawsuit began in 2013, the citations Public Resource listed on its cover sheets at the time that it posted the standards were to earlier versions of the Code of Federal Regulations. Although those citations may no longer reflect the current C.F.R., this does not change the fact that the standards were incorporated by reference into law. However, Plaintiffs' phrasing ("have not been incorporated") falsely suggests that these standards were not incorporated into law, or that they are not currently incorporated into law elsewhere.
a. ASTM A36 (1977a^e) : PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200 does not reference this standard.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
b. ASTM A36/A36M (1997a ^{e1}): PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 160.035-3(b)(2) as the incorporating by reference regulations. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at However, 46 C.F.R.§ 160.035 was removed and reserved. <i>See</i> 76 FR 62962, 62975, Oct. 11, 2011.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
c. ASTM A82 (1979): PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_ 00092094; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
12. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference this standard.	now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
d. ASTM A106/A106 M (2004b): PRO identifies 49 C.F.R §. 192.113 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_ 00079099; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19. However, 49 C.F.R. § 192.113 references ASTM A106, not ASTM A106/A106 M (2004b). And 49 C.F.R. § 192.7, which identifies standards incorpotaed by reference, references the 2010 version of ASTM A106/A106 M, not the 2004b version.	Disputed. ASTM A106/A106 M (2004b) is incorporated by reference at 49 C.F.R. § 192.7 (2010). Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
e. ASTM A184 (1979): PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 18. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference this standard.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
f. ASTM A185 (1979): PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_ 00080317; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 23. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference this standard.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
g. ASTM A203/A203 M (1997): PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 54.05-20(b) as the incorporating by reference regulation. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 31. However, 46 C.F.R. § 54.05-20(b) references ASTM A 203/A 203M-97	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial:

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
(Reapproved 2007) ^{e1} , not ASTM A203/A203 M (1997).	whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
h. ASTM A242 (1979) : PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_ 00082342; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 36. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference this standard.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
i. ASTM A285 (1978): PRO identifies 10 C.F.R. § 440 Appendix A and 24 C.F.R. § 200 Appendix A as the incorporating by reference regulations. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 40. However, 10 C.F.R. § 440 Appendix A and 24 C.F.R. 200 Appendix A do not reference this standard.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
j. ASTM A307 (1978e): PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S and 46 C.F.R. 56.25- 20(b) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_ 00082371; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 45. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference this standard, and 46 C.F.R. § 56.25-20(b) references the 1997 version of ASTM A307, not the 1978 ^e version.	Disputed. ASTM A307 1978 is incorporated by reference at 24 C.F.R. (Parts 200 to 499) (2005). ASTM has stated that an "e" designation after the year means a minor, non- substantive revision. Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
k. ASTM A325 (1979): PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_ 00082401; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 51. However, 24 C.F.R.§ 200, Subpart S does not reference this standard.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
1. ASTM A370-77^{e2}: PRO identifies 49 C.F.R. § 179.102-1(a)(1) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 62. However, 49 C.F.R. § 179.102-1(a)(1) incorporates ASTM A370-94 not ASTM A370- 77^{e2} . 49 C.F.R. § 179.102-1(a)(1).	Disputed. ASTM A370-1977 is incorporated by reference at 46 C.F.R. § 56.01-1 (1997). ASTM has stated that an "e" designation after the year means a minor, non-substantive revision. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
m. ASTM A441-79: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 120. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A441- 79.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
n. ASTM A449-78a: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 124. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A449- 78a.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
o. ASTMA475-78(1984) ^{e1} : PRO identifies 7 C.F.R. § 1755.370(b) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 131. However, 7 C.F.R. § 1755.370(b) incorporates ASTM A476-78, not ASTM A475-78(1984) ^{e1} .	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
p. ASTM A490-79: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00088099; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. 153, Ex. 152 at 138. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A490-79.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
q. ASTM A496-78: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 148. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A496-78.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
r. ASTM A497-79: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 155. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A497-79.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
s. ASTM A500-78: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 163. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A500-78.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
t. ASTM A501-76: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00089127; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 171. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A501-76.	March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
u. ASTM A502-76: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00090524; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 180. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A502-76.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
v. ASTM A514-77: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 186. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A514- 77.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
w. ASTM A539-90a: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 3280.705(b)(4) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00091622; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19. However, 24 C.F.R. § 3280.705(b)(4) incorporates ASTM C539-99 not ASTM C539- 90a. 24 C.F.R. § 3280.705(b)(4).	Disputed. ASTM A539-1990a is incorporated by reference at 24 C.F.R. § 3280.4 (2004). Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
x. ASTM A570-79: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00091642; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 197.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial:

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A570-79.	whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
y. ASTM A572-79: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 202. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A572-79.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
z. ASTM A588-79a: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 207. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A588- 79a.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
aa. ASTM A611-72(1979): PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 211. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A611- 72(1979).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
bb. ASTM A615-79: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00091848; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 216. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A615-79.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	affect whether it engages in fair use.
cc. ASTM A616-79: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 224. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A616- 79.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
dd. ASTM A617-79: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 232. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM A617- 79.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
ee. ASTM B21-83b: PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-2 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 246. However, 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-2 incorporates B21-96. Additionally, 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-2 only incorporates this standard with respect to certain copper alloys addressed in B21.	Disputed. ASTM B21-1983b is incorporated by reference at 46 C.F.R. § 56.01-2 (1996- 2008). Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
ff. ASTM B85-84: PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-2 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 252. However, 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-2 incorporates B85-96. 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-2. Additionally, 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-2 only incorporates one table within ASTM B85-96— table X-2—and states that "[t]ension tests shall be performed to determine tensile strength, yield strength, and elongation" in accordance with the minimum value in X-2. <i>Id</i> . The remainder of the	Disputed. ASTM B85-84 is incorporated by reference at 46 C.F.R. § 56.01-2 (1997). Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
standard is unnecessary to determine the minimum value in X-2. <i>Id.</i> Table X-2 also contains values for sheer strength and fatigue strength that are unnecessary to understand the minimum value for the required tension tests. <i>Id.</i>	
gg. ASTM B580-79: PRO identifies 49 C.F.R. § 171.7 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00093063; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 318. However, 49 C.F.R. § 171.7 incorporates ASTM B580-79 reapproved in 2000, not ASTM B580- 79. 49 C.F.R. § 171.7.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
hh. ASTM C5-79(1997): PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00093990; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 330. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM C5-79.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
ii. ASTM C150-99a: PRO identifies 30 C.F.R. § 250.198 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 334. However, 30 C.F.R. § 250.198 incorporates ASTM C150-07, not ASTM C150- 99a. 30 C.F.R. § 250.198.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
jj. ASTM C330-99: PRO identifies 30 C.F.R. § 250.901(a)(18) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00093937; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 378. However, 30 C.F.R. § 250.901(a)(18)	Disputed. ASTM C330-1999 is incorporated by reference at 30 C.F.R. § 250.198 (2007). Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
incorporates ASTM C330-05, not ASTM C330- 99. 30 C.F.R. §250.901(a)(18).	
kk. ASTM C509-84: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 384. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM C509-84.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
ll. ASTM C516-80(1996) ^{e1} : PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00094023; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 392. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM C516- $80(1996)^{e1}$.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
mm. ASTM C549-81(1995) ^{e1} : PRO identifies 10 C.F.R. § 440, Appendix A as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00094157; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 411. However, 10 C.F.R. § 440, Appendix A incorporates ASTM C549-81 reapproved in 1986, not ASTM C549- 81(1995) ^{e1} . 10 C.F.R. § 440, Appendix A.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
nn. ASTM C564-70(1982): PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § $3280.611(d)$ (5)(iv) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 417. However, 24 C.F.R. § $3280.611(d)(5)(iv)$ incorporates ASTM C564- 88 not ASTM C564-70(1982). 24 C.F.R. § $3280.611(d)(5)(iv)$.	Disputed. ASTM C564-1970(1976) is incorporated by reference at 24 C.F.R. (Parts 200 to 499) (2005). The (1982) reissue of that standard is identical to the 1976 version except for the number "1982" in the title. Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
oo. ASTM D86-07: PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 1065.710 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00106152; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 423. However, 40 C.F.R. § 1065.710 incorporates ASTM D86-12, not ASTM D86-07. 40 C.F.R. § 1065.710.	Disputed. ASTM D86-07 is incorporated by reference at 40 C.F.R. § 80.47(r) (2017). Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
pp. ASTM D512-89(1999): PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00104757; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 466. However, 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a) incorporates ASTM D512a-04, D512b-04, and D512c-04 not ASTM D512- 89(1999). 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
qq. ASTM D814-95: PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 1051.245(e)(1) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00105881; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 493. However, 40 C.F.R. § 1051.245(e)(1) does not incorporate ASTM D814-95. 40 C.F.R. § 1051.245(e)(1).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
rr. ASTM D975-07: PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 1065.710 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 517. However, 40 C.F.R. § 1065.710 incorporates ASTM D975-13a, not ASTM D975-07. 40 C.F.R. § 1065.710.	Disputed. ASTM D975b-2007 is incorporated by reference at 40 C.F.R. § 1065.1010 (2011). Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
ss. ASTM D1246-95(1999): PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a), Table IB as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise	Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 546. However, 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a), Table IB incorporates ASTM D1246- 05, not ASTM D1246-95(1999). 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a), Table IB.	fair use.
tt. ASTM D1481-93(1997): PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a), Table IC as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 599. However, 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a), Table IC does not incorporate ASTM D1481-93(1997). 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a), Table IC.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
uu. ASTM D1518-85 (1998) ^{e1} : PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 160.174-17(f) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00095007; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 606. However, 46 C.F.R. § 160.174-17(f) incorporates ASTM D1518-85 reapproved in 1990, not ASTM D1518-85 (1998) ^{e1} . 46 C.F.R. § 160.174-17(f).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
vv. ASTM D1785-86: PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.01-2 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 661. However, 46 C.F.R. § 56.01-2 does not reference ASTM D1785-86. 46 C.F.R. § 56.01- 2.	Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
ww. ASTM D1890-96: PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 678. However, 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a) does not reference ASTM D1890-96. 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
xx. ASTM D2036-98: PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a), Table 1B as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 742. However, 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a), Table 1B incorporates a different version of ASTM D2036. 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a), Table 1B incorporates ASTM D2036-09(A) and (B), not ASTM D2036-98. 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a), Table 1B.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
yy. ASTM D2163-91 (1996): PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § $86.1313-94(f)(3)$ as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 763. However, 40 C.F.R. § $86.1313-94$ is reserved by 79 FR 23704 and does not reference ASTM D2163-91 (1996). 40 C.F.R. § $86.1313-94$.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
zz. ASTM D2986-95a (1999): PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 86.1310 $2007(b)(7)(i)(A)$ as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 861. However, 40 C.F.R. § 86.1310-2007 is reserved by 79 FR 23704 and does not reference ASTM D2986-95a (1999). 40 C.F.R. § 86.1310-2007.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
aaa. ASTM D3120-96: PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 80.46(a)(3)(iii) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00103410; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 868. However, 40 C.F.R. § 80.46 incorporates a different version of ASTM D3120. 40 C.F.R. § 80.46 incorporates ASTM D3120- 08, not ASTM D3120-96. 40 C.F.R. § 80.46.	Disputed. ASTM D3120-1996 is incorporated by reference at 40 C.F.R. § 80.580(b) (2001- 2003). Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
bbb. ASTM D5257-97: PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a) as the incorporating by	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00104786; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1017. However, 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a) incorporates ASTM D5257-11, not ASTM D5257-97. 40 C.F.R. § 136.3(a).	March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
ccc. ASTM D5373-93 (1997): PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 75, Appendix G as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00104803; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1025. However, 40 C.F.R. § 75, Appendix G incorporates a different version of ASTM D5373. 40 C.F.R. § 75, Appendix G incorporates ASTM D5373-02 (2007), not ASTM D5373-93 (1997). 40 C.F.R. § 75, Appendix G.	Disputed. ASTM D5373-1993 is incorporated by reference at 40 C.F.R. § 75.6 (2004). The (1997) reissue that Public Resource posted is identical except for the date (1997) in the title. Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
ddd. ASTM D5489-96a: PRO identifies 16 C.F.R. § 423.8(g) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1031. However, 16 C.F.R. § 423.8(g) incorporates ASTM D5489-96c, not ASTM D5489-96a. 16 C.F.R. § 423.8(g).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
eee. ASTM E23-82: PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.50-105(a)(1)(ii) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00106690; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1122. However, 46 C.F.R. § 56.50- 105(a)(1)(ii) incorporates a different version of ASTM E23. 46 C.F.R. § 56.50- 105(a)(1)(ii) incorporates ASTM E23-96, not ASTM E23-82. 46 C.F.R. §§ 56.50-105(a)(1)(ii); 56.01-2(e)(68).	Disputed. ASTM E23-1982 is incorporated by reference at 46 C.F.R. § 56.01-2 (1997). Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
fff. ASTM E145-94 ^{e1} : PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 63.14 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00106516; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1182. However, 40 C.F.R. § 63.14(h)(103) incorporates ASTM E145-94 (2001), not ASTM E145-94 ^{e1} . 40 C.F.R. § 63.14(h)(103).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
ggg. ASTM E283-91 (1999): PRO identifies 10 C.F.R. § 434.402.2 and 24 C.F.R. § 200, Appendix A as the incorporating by reference regulations. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00106751; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1223. However, 10 C.F.R. § 434.402.2 does not reference ASTM E 283, and 24 C.F.R. § 200, Appendix A incorporates ASTM E283-91, not ASTM E283-91 (1999).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
hhh. ASTM E408-71: PRO identifies 16 C.F.R. § 460.5(b) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00106805; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1230. However, 16 C.F.R. § 460.5(b) incorporates ASTM E408-71, reapproved in 2002, not ASTM E408-71. 16 C.F.R. § 460.5(b).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
iii. ASTM E424-71: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00106810; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1235. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM E424-71. 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
jjj. ASTM E606-80: PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200.946 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00106820; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1244. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200.946 does not reference ASTM E606-80. 24 C.F.R. § 200.946.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
kkk. ASTM E695-79 (1997) ^{e1} : PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200.946(a)(1)(viii) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00106851; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1273. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200.946(a)(1)(viii) incorporates ASTM E 695- 79, reapproved in 1991, not ASTM E695-79 (1997) ^{e1} . 24 C.F.R. § 200.946(a)(1)(viii).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
lll. ASTM E711-87 (1992): PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 63, Subpart DDDDD, Table 6 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00106859; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1279. However, 40 C.F.R. § 63, Subpart DDDDD cites ASTM E711-87, reapproved in 2004, not ASTM E711-87 (1992). 40 C.F.R. §§ 63, Subpart DDDDD; 63.14(h)(108).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
mmm. ASTM E776-87 (1992): PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 63, Subpart DDDDD, Table 6 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00106908; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1300. However, 40 C.F.R. § 63, Subpart DDDDD, Table 6 incorporates ASTM E776-87, reapproved in 2009, not ASTM E776-87 (1992). 40 C.F.R. §§ 63, Subpart DDDDD; 63.14(h)(109).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
nnn. ASTM E885-88: PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 63, Subpart DDDDD, Table 6 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1308. However, 40 C.F.R. § 63, Subpart DDDDD, Table 6 does not reference ASTM E885-88. 40 C.F.R. § 63, Subpart DDDDD, Table 6.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
ooo. ASTM E1337-90 (1996) : PRO identifies 49 C.F.R. §§ 571.105, S6.9.2(a) as the incorporating by reference regulations. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1336. However, 49 C.F.R. §§ 571.105 and 571.5 incorporate ASTM E1337-90, reapproved in 2008, not ASTM E1337-90 (1996). 49 C.F.R. §§ 571.105(a), (b); 571.5(d)(39).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
ppp. ASTM F462-79 (1999): PRO identifies 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00107383; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19. However, 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S does not reference ASTM F462-79 (1999). 24 C.F.R. § 200, Subpart S.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
qqq. ASTM F478-92 (1999): PRO identifies 29 C.F.R. § 1910.137(b)(2)(ix) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00107415; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1343. However, 29 C.F.R. § 1910.137(b)(2)(ix) incorporates a different version of ASTM F478. 29 C.F.R. § 1910.137(b)(2)(ix) incorporates ASTM F478- 09, not ASTM F478-92 (1999).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
rrr. ASTM F631-80 (1985): PRO identifies 33 C.F.R. § 156.40 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1349. However, 33 C.F.R. § 156.40 does not exist; 33 C.F.R. § 156.400 does not reference any ASTM standard, and 33 C.F.R. § 156.106(e)(1) incorporates a different version of ASTM F631. 33 C.F.R. § 156.106(e)(1) incorporates ASTM F631-93, not ASTM F631-80 (1985).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
sss. ASTM F682-82a (1988): PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.01-2 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1361. However, 46 C.F.R. § 56.01-2 incorporates ASTM F682-82a, reapproved in 2008, not ASTM F682-82a (1988). 46 C.F.R. § 56.01-2(e)(69).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
ttt. ASTM F715-81 (1986): PRO identifies 33 C.F.R. § 154.106 as the incorporating by reference regulations. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1368. However, both 33 C.F.R. §§ 155, Appendix B and 154.106 incorporate a different version of ASTM F715. 33 C.F.R. §§ 155, Appendix B and 154.106 incorporate ASTM F715-95, not ASTM F715-81 (1986). 33 C.F.R. §§ 155.140(c)(2); 155, Appendix B; 154.106(e)(2).	Disputed. ASTM F715-1981 (1986) is incorporated by reference at 33 C.F.R. § 154.106 (1997-2008). Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
uuu. ASTM F722-82 (1988): PRO identifies 33 C.F.R. §§ 155.140 as the incorporating by reference regulations. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00107471; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1377. However, 33 C.F.R. §§ 155.140 does not reference ASTM F722, and 33 C.F.R. § 154, Appendixes A and B incorporate ASTM F722-82, reapproved in 2008, not ASTM	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
F722-82 (1988). 33 C.F.R. § 154, Appendix A, B; 154.106(e)(3).	
vvv. ASTM F808-83 (1988)e1: PRO identifies 33 C.F.R. § 154, Appendix C, 6.3.1 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00107483; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1389. However, 33 C.F.R. § 154, Appendix C cites, but does not incorporate by reference, "Item 26 in ASTM F 808." 33 C.F.R. § 154, Appendix C. ASTM F808-83 is also not included amongst the ASTM standards incorporated by reference in 33 C.F.R. § 154.106.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
www. ASTM F1006-86 (1997): PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00107009; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1403. However, 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) incorporates ASTM F1006-86, reapproved in 2008, not ASTM F1006-86 (1997). 46 C.F.R. §§ 56.60-1(b), 56.60-2(e)(70).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
xxx. ASTM F1007-86 (1996) ^{e1} : PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1408. However, 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) incorporates ASTM F1007- 86, reapproved in 2007, not ASTM F1007-86 $(1996)^{e1}$. 46 C.F.R. §§ 56.60- 1(b), 56.60- 2(e)(70).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
yyy. ASTM F1020-86 (1996) ^{e1} : PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1420. However, 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) incorporates ASTM F1020-86, reapproved in 2011, not ASTM F1020-86	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial:

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
$(1996)^{e1}$. 46 C.F.R. §§ 56.60- 1(b), 56.01- 2(e)(72).	whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
zzz. ASTM F1120-87 (1998): PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1424. However, 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) incorporates ASTM F1120- 87, reapproved in 2010, not ASTM F1120-87 (1998). 46 C.F.R. §§ 56.60-1(b), 56.01-2(e)(73).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
aaaa. ASTM F1121-87 (1998): PRO identifies 33 C.F.R. § 126.15(a)(5) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00107047; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1433. However, 33 C.F.R. § 126.15(a)(5) incorporates ASTM F1121-87, reapproved in 2010, not ASTM F1121-87 (1998). 33 C.F.R. §§ 126.15(a)(5), 126.5(b).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
bbbb. ASTM F1122-87 (1998): PRO identifies 33 C.F.R. § 154.500(d)(3) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00107055; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1437. However, 33 C.F.R. § 154.500(d)(3) incorporates ASTM F1122-87, reapproved in 1992, not ASTM F1122-87 (1998). 33 C.F.R. §§ 154.500(d)(3), 154.106(e)(4).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
cccc. ASTM F1123-87 (1998): PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § $56.60-1(b)$ as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1451. However, 46 C.F.R. § $56.60-1(b)$ incorporates ASTM F1123-87, reapproved in 2010, not ASTM F1123-87 (1998). 46 C.F.R. §§ $56.60-1(b)$, $56.01-2(e)(74)$.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
ddd. ASTM F1139-88 (1998): PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00107074; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19. However, 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) incorporates ASTM F1139-88, reapproved in 2010, not ASTM F1139-88 (1998). 46 C.F.R. §§ 56.60-1(b), 56.01-2(e)(75).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
eeee. ASTM F1172-88 (1998): PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1481. However, 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) incorporates ASTM F1172- 88, reapproved in 2010, not ASTM F1172-88 (1998). 46 C.F.R. §§ 56.60-1(b), 56.01-2(e)(76).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
ffff. ASTM F1199-88 (1998): PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1523. However, 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) incorporates ASTM F1199- 88, reapproved in 2010, not ASTM F1199-88 (1998). 46 C.F.R. §§ 56.60-1(b), 56.01-2(e)(78).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
gggg. ASTM F1200-88 (1998): PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00107162; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19. However, 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) incorporates ASTM F1200-88, reapproved in 2010, not ASTM F1200-88 (1998). 46 C.F.R. §§ 56.60-1(b), 56.01-2(e)(79).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
hhhh. ASTM F1201-88 (1998): PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1528. However, 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b) incorporates ASTM F1201- 88, reapproved in 2010, not ASTM F1201-88 (1998). 46 C.F.R. §§ 56.60-1(b), 56.01-2(e)(80).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
iiii. ASTM F1271-90 (1995) ^{e1} : PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § $39.20-9(c)(1)$ as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00241177; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1534. However, 46 C.F.R. § 39.20-9(c)(1) does not exist, and 46 C.F.R. § 39.2009(a)(3) incorporates a different version of ASTM F1271. 46 C.F.R. § $39.2009(a)(3)$ incorporates a different version of ASTM F1271. 46 C.F.R. § $39.2009(a)(3)$ incorporates ASTM F1271-89, not ASTM F1271-90 (1995) ^{e1} . 46 C.F.R. §§ $39.2009(a)(3)$, $39.1005(d)(2)$.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
jjjj. ASTM F1273-91 (1996) ^{e1} : PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 32.20-10 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00107183; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1540. However, 46 C.F.R. § 32.20-10 incorporates ASTM F1273-91, reapproved in 2007, not ASTM F1273-91 (1996) ^{e1} . 46 C.F.R. §§ 32.20-10, 32.01-1(c)(2).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
kkkk. ASTM F1323-98: PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 63.25-9 as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00107247; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1576. However, 46 C.F.R. § 63.25-9(a) incorporates a different version of ASTM F1323. 46 C.F.R.	Disputed. ASTM F1323-1998 is incorporated by reference at 46 C.F.R. § 63.05-1 (2005). Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
§ 63.25-9(a) incorporates ASTM F1323-2001, not ASTM F1323-98. 46 C.F.R. §§ 63.25-9(a), 63.05-1(d)(1).	
Illl. ASTM F1471-93: PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 86.1310-2007(b)(1)(iv)(B) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1585. However, 40 C.F.R. § 86.1310-2007 is reserved by 79 FR 23704 and does not reference ASTM F1471. 40 C.F.R. § 86.1310-2007.	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
mmm. ASTM F1546/F1546M-96: PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 162.027-3(a) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1599. However, 46 C.F.R. § 162.027-3(a) incorporates ASTM F1546/F1546 M-96, reapproved in 2012, not ASTM F1546/F1546M-96. 46 C.F.R. §§ 162.027-3(a); 162.027-2(b)(1).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
nnn. ASTM G154-00a: PRO identifies 49 C.F.R. § 571.106, S12.7(b) as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1631. However, 49 C.F.R. § 571.106 incorporates ASTM G154-00, not ASTM G154- 00a. 49 C.F.R. §§ 571.106, 571.5(d)(38).	Disputed. In Exhibit A to their response to Public Resource's Interrogatory No. 1 on March 24, 2014, Plaintiffs identified this edition of this standard as having been incorporated by reference into law. Plaintiffs now deny it without explanation. Immaterial: whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
Incorrect Citations re: NFPA's Standards	Disputed for the reasons addressed below.
37. Additionally, PRO's posting of the 2000 edition of NFPA 101 states that it is posted " <i>By Authority of the Code of Federal Regulations</i> : 59 C.F.R. 130." Wise Decl. ¶ 168, Ex. 167 at 1. The Code of Federal Regulations, however, currently spans only Titles 1 to 50; there is no Title 59 <i>See</i>	Disputed to the extent that, although Public Resource did make an error in its citation, NFPA 101 2000 is incorporated by reference in several locations, including 42 C.F.R. § 460.72 (2010), 42 C.F.R. § 483.70 (2011), and 42 C.F.R. § 416.44 (2012). Immaterial.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
Codeof Federal Regulations (Annual Edition), available at <u>https://www.govinfo.gov/app/</u> <u>collection/C.F.R.</u>	Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
38. Similarly, PRO's postings on the Internet Archive often point to outdated regulations, with no information that the regulation has been superseded. For example, PRO's posting of the 2005 edition of NFPA 70 says it is posted " <i>By</i> <i>Authority of the Code of Federal Regulations</i> : 49 C.F.R. 192.189(c)." Wise Decl. ¶ 168, Ex. 167 at 2. That section provides that "[e]lectrical equipment in vaults must conform to the applicable requirements of Class 1, Group D, of the National Electrical Code, NFPA-70 (incorporated by reference, see § 192.7)." Section 192.7(h), however, incorporates the 2011, not the 2005, edition of NFPA 70. 49 C.F.R. § 192.7(h)(4).	Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs imply that laws and regulations that applied in recent years are no longer relevant, and disputed to the extent that NFPA 70 2005 is incorporated by reference at 49 C.F.R. § 192.7 (2009). Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
39. Likewise, PRO's copy of the 2003 NFPA 30 states that it is posted " <i>By Authority of the</i> <i>Code of Federal Regulations</i> : 49 C.F.R. 192." Wise Decl. ¶ 168, Ex. 167 at 3. Section 192.7 of Title 49 incorporates the 2012 edition of NFPA 30, but not the 2003 edition. 49 C.F.R. § 192.(h)(1).	Disputed to the extent that NFPA 30 2003 is incorporated by reference at 49 C.F.R. § 192.7 (2009). Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
40. PRO's posting of the 2005 NFPA 99 contains the same error, telling readers it is posted " <i>By Authority of the Code of Federal Regulations</i> : 38 C.F.R. 51.200(b)(4)," Wise Decl. ¶ 168, Ex. 167 at 4, even though that section references the 2012 edition of NFPA 99. 38 C.F.R. § 51.200(i)(2)(ii).	Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs imply that the citation is in error. NFPA 99 2005 is incorporated by reference at 46 C.F.R. § 110.10-1 (2009). Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
Incorrect Citations re: ASHRAE's Standards	Disputed for the reasons addressed below. Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
41. In addition, PRO's posting of ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2004 on the Internet Archive states that is has been incorporated by reference in Minnesota, Maine and Nevada, Wise Decl. ¶ 170, Ex. 169 at 1, but those states' codes are actually based on a different standard, the International Energy Conservation Code ("IECC"), for which compliance with ASHRAE 90.1 is just an alternative compliance option, Wise Decl. ¶ 171, Ex. 170.	Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs ignore that ASHRAE 90.1-2004 is incorporated by reference at 10 C.F.R. § 433.3 (2013). Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
42. The same is true with PRO's posting of ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2007, which asserts that the standard has been incorporated in Illinois and California, ¶ 170, Ex. 169 at 2, which both have adopted the IECC rather than 90.1 or created their own codes that are more stringent than even later versions of 90.1 (that postdate what PRO has posted), Wise Decl. ¶ 171, Ex. 170.	Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs ignore that ASHRAE 90.1-2007 is incorporated by reference at 10 C.F.R. § 433.3 (2013). Immaterial. Whether Public Resource has accurately identified the incorporating provision does not affect whether it engages in fair use.
43. PRO's postings on Internet Archive also point to instances where the statute or regulation incorporating the standard triggers an obligation for a government actor but not for individuals. For instance, PRO's postings of ASHRAE 90.1- 2004 and ASHRAE 90.1-2007 both reference 10 C.F.R. § 433.4, which states "[a]ll Federal agencies shall design new Federal buildings" that meet the ASHRAE standards, but does not impose a similar requirement on private actors. <i>See</i> Wise Decl. ¶ 170, Ex. 169.	Immaterial. Laws that regulate government actors are equally as relevant as laws that regulate private persons. The First Amendment is an example of a "law" that regulates only government actors.

Plaintiffs	' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	PRO Copies and Distributes Plaintiffs' Works, Regardless Of Whether They Are Essential To Comply With Any Legal Duty ards (And Portions Thereof) That se Legal Duties	Disputed. Each standard that Public Resource posts is incorporated into law in its entirety. The Office of the Federal Register instructs that material should only be incorporated by reference into law "[i]f the material is necessary to understand or comply with the regulation." Becker Decl., ¶ 25, Ex. 58 (IBR Handbook) at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decision as to what material to incorporate by reference, including the decision as to whether to incorporate an entire document or just part of a document, is a decision made by the regulatory agency. The Director of the Federal Register then reviews the proposed incorporation, and either approves or denies the incorporation. Public Resource therefore only posted material that federal agencies had determined was "necessary to understand or comply with [federal regulations]." <i>Id</i> .
redacted the A reproduced and the ASTM S Exs. 150, 15	from the instances where PRO has ASTM logo discussed above, PRO and displayed the full text of each of tandards. Wise Decl. ¶¶ 151, 153, 2; Rubel Decl. ¶ 5, Ex. 2 (Rule b. of Public Resource at 158:22-	
standards that such a manne For example incorporates A Method for I and Liquid For the regulation D86 is a men a regulated er requirements equivalent sp	osted in their entirety numerous are incorporated by regulations in er as to be optional or references. , 40 C.F.R. § 86.113- 04(a)(1) ASTM D86-07, the "Standard Test Distillation of Petroleum Products uels at Atmospheric Pressure." But n expressly provides that ASTM re "reference procedure," and that ntity can comply with the codified by meeting "substantially ecifications approved by the EPA r." See 40 C.F.R. § 86.113-	Disputed. Each standard that Public Resource posts is incorporated into law in its entirety. The Office of the Federal Register instructs that material should only be incorporated by reference into law "[i]f the material is necessary to understand or comply with the regulation." Becker Decl., ¶ 25, Ex. 58 (IBR Handbook) at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decision as to what material to incorporate by reference, including the decision as to whether to incorporate an entire document or just part of a document, is a decision made by the regulatory agency. That regulatory agency's decision must be given deference over any possible quibble Plaintiffs might have

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	as to whether a portion of an incorporated document is absolutely necessary to understand or comply with the regulation. After the regulatory agency determines what material to propose for incorporation into law, the Director of the Federal Register then reviews the proposed incorporation, and either approves or denies the incorporation. Public Resource therefore only posted material that federal agencies had determined was "necessary to understand or comply with [federal regulations]." <i>Id</i> .
	Additionally, D86-07 is mandated by law and is neither optional nor is it simply a "reference procedure." 40 C.F.R. § 80.46(d) states: "Through December 31, 2015, distillation parameters <i>must</i> be determined using ASTM D86. Beginning January 1, 2016, the distillation parameters must be determined by a test method approved under § 80.47." (emphasis added). Additionally, § 80.47 specifically states that the reproducibility (R) factor equals a specific value which can only be found in Table 10 of ASTM D86-07 and the Sc value (average slope or rate of change) must be calculated according to section 13.2 of ASTM D86-07. ASTM D86-07 is incorporated by reference on page 81, Section 80.47. Elsewhere, § 80.128 requires ASTM D86 or equivalent.
	Section 13.2 of ASTM D86-07 concerns Precision and Bias. Section 13.2 cannot be read or understood in isolation from the preceding sections of ASTM D86-07, including section 3 (terminology), section 5 (significance and use of this test), section 6 (apparatus), section 7 (sampling, storage, and sample conditioning), section 8 (preparation of the apparatus), section 9 (calibration and standardization), section 10 (procedure), section 11 (calculation), section 12 (reports).

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
46. Similarly, PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. Appendix D to Part 75, the "Optional S02 Emmissional Data Protocol for Gas-Fired and Oil-Fired Units" as the regulation incorporating ASTM standard D1217-93, the "Standard Test Method for Density and Relative Density (Specific Gravity) of Liquids by Bingham Pycnometer." This regulation incorporates the standard to be optional; Subsection 2.2.4.3(d) expressly provides an alternative procedure for sampling oil from shipment tanks or containers and testing samples for density, of which D1217- 93 is just one. <i>See</i> 40 C.F.R. Appendix D to Part 75.	Disputed. Eeach standard that Public Resource posts is incorporated into law in its entirety. The Office of the Federal Register instructs that material should only be incorporated by reference into law "[i]f the material is necessary to understand or comply with the regulation." Becker Decl., ¶ 25, Ex. 58 (IBR Handbook) at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decision as to what material to incorporate by reference, including the decision as to whether to incorporate an entire document or just part of a document, is a decision made by the regulatory agency. That regulatory agency's decision must be given deference over any possible quibble Plaintiffs might have as to whether a portion of an incorporated document is absolutely necessary to understand or comply with the regulation. After the regulatory agency determines what material to propose for incorporation into law, the Director of the Federal Register then reviews the proposed incorporation, and either approves or denies the incorporation. Public Resource therefore only posted material that federal agencies had determined was "necessary to understand or comply with [federal regulations]."
47. This is true for other ASTM Works as well. For instance:	Disputed for the reasons addressed below.
a. ASTM F715-95: PRO identifies 33 C.F.R. § 155, Appendix B, 2.4 as the regulation that incorporates ASTM F715-95, the "Standard Test Methods for Coated Fabrics Used for Oil Spill Control and Storage." Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1368. This regulation expressly states that testing must be in accordance with either ASTM F715 "or other tests approved by the Coast Guard."	Disputed. Each standard that Public Resource posts is incorporated into law in its entirety. The Office of the Federal Register instructs that material should only be incorporated by reference into law "[i]f the material is necessary to understand or comply with the regulation." Becker Decl., ¶ 25, Ex. 58 (IBR Handbook) at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decision as to what material to incorporate by reference, including the decision as to whether to incorporate an entire document or just part of a document, is a decision made by

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	the regulatory agency. That regulatory agency's decision must be given deference over any possible quibble Plaintiffs might have as to whether a portion of an incorporated document is absolutely necessary to understand or comply with the regulation. After the regulatory agency determines what material to propose for incorporation into law, the Director of the Federal Register then reviews the proposed incorporation, and either approves or denies the incorporation. Public Resource therefore only posted material that federal agencies had determined was "necessary to understand or comply with [federal regulations]." IBR Handbook at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). Immaterial. How and whether Public Resource has identified an incorporating provision has no bearing on its fair use.
b. ASTM F1321-92: PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 28.535(d) as the regulation that incorporates ASTM F1321, the "Standard Guide for Conducting a Stability Test (Lightweight Survey and Inclining Experiment) to Determine Light Ship Displacement and Centers of Gravity of a Vessel." Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1546. This regulation expressly states that ASTM F1321 " <i>may</i> be used <i>as guidance</i> for any inclining test or deadweight survey conducted under this section."	Disputed. Each standard that Public Resource posts is incorporated into law in its entirety. The Office of the Federal Register instructs that material should only be incorporated by reference into law "[i]f the material is necessary to understand or comply with the regulation." IBR Handbook at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decision as to what material to incorporate by reference, including the decision as to whether to incorporate an entire document or just part of a document, is a decision made by the regulatory agency. That regulatory agency's decision must be given deference over any possible quibble Plaintiffs might have as to whether a portion of an incorporated document is absolutely necessary to understand or comply with the regulation. After the regulatory agency determines what material to propose for incorporation into law, the Director of the Federal Register then reviews the proposed incorporation, and either approves or denies the incorporation. Public Resource therefore only posted material that

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	federal agencies had determined was "necessary to understand or comply with [federal regulations]." IBR Handbook at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). Immaterial. How and whether Public Resource has identified an incorporating provision has no bearing on its fair use.
c. ASTM A369-A369M-92, B42-96, B68-95, B75-97, B88-96, B111-95, B315-93, and F1006: PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.60- 1(b), as the incorporating by reference regulation. Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00085147, PRO_00092176, PRO_00092980, PRO_00093012, PRO_00093103, PRO_00093196, PRO_00093301, and PRO_00107009; Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 19; Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 1403. The regulation contains a table of acceptable commercial standards from American National Standards Institute, ASTM, American Society for Mechanical Engineers, and several other SDOs that are each considered to comply with the regulation. 46 C.F.R. § 56.60- 1(b). The regulation also contains a note indicating that: "The Coast Guard will consider use of alternative pipes, tubing, and fittings when it receives certification of their mechanical properties." 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-1(b).	Disputed. Each standard that Public Resource posts is incorporated into law in its entirety. The Office of the Federal Register instructs that material should only be incorporated by reference into law "[i]f the material is necessary to understand or comply with the regulation." IBR Handbook at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decision as to what material to incorporate by reference, including the decision as to whether to incorporate an entire document or just part of a document, is a decision made by the regulatory agency. That regulatory agency's decision must be given deference over any possible quibble Plaintiffs might have as to whether a portion of an incorporated document is absolutely necessary to understand or comply with the regulation. After the regulatory agency determines what material to propose for incorporation into law, the Director of the Federal Register then reviews the proposed incorporation, and either approves or denies the incorporation. Public Resource therefore only posted material that federal agencies had determined was "necessary to understand or comply with [federal regulations]." (IBR Handbook) at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). Immaterial. How and whether Public Resource has identified an incorporating provision has no bearing on its fair use.
48. On numerous occasions, PRO posted entire ASTM Standards, when only a portion of those standards is actually incorporated by reference into law. For instance:	Disputed for the reasons addressed below.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts a. ASTM B122/B122M: PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 119.440 as the incorporating by reference regulation, Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00092264, but that regulation only incorporates B122/B122M with respect to copper alloy C71500, one of eleven copper alloys addressed in the standard. 46 C.F.R. § 119.440. The portions of the standard related to the other ten copper alloys are unnecessary to understand the minimum thickness for copper alloy C71500. See Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00092264.	 Disputed. The entire ASTM B122/B122M-1995 is incorporated by reference at 46 C.F.R. § 58.03-1 (2011): 46 C.F.R. § 58.03-1, "Incorporation by Reference" (2011): (a) Certain material is incorporated by reference into this part with the approval of the Director of the Federal Register under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. To enforce any edition other than that specified in this section, the Coast Guard must publish notice of change in the FEDERAL REGISTER and the material must be available to the public. All approved material is available for inspection at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030 or go to http://www.archives.gov/federallregister/c odeloflfederallregulations/ibrllocations.ht ml. This material is also available for inspection at the U.S. Coast Guard, Office of Design and Engineering Standards (CG–521), 2100 2nd St. SW., Stop 7126, Washington, DC 20593-7126, and is available from the sources listed below. (g) ASTM International (formerly American Society for Testing and Materials) (ASTM), 100 Barr Harbor
	below. (g) ASTM International (formerly American Society for Testing and
	 (3) ASTM B 122/B 122M–95, Standard Specification for Copper-Nickel-Tin Alloy, Copper-Nickel-Zinc Alloy (Nickel

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	Silver), and Copper-Nickel Alloy Plate, Sheet, Strip, and Rolled Bar ("ASTM B 122"), 58.50–5.
	Immaterial. How and whether Public Resource has identified an incorporating provision has no bearing on its fair use.
b. ASTM B85-96: PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-2 as the incorporating by reference regulation, Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 252, but that regulation only incorporates one table within ASTM B85-96—table X-2— and states that "[t]ension tests shall be performed to determine tensile strength, yield strength, and elongation" in accordance with the minimum value in X-2. 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-2. The remainder of the standard is unnecessary to determine the minimum value in X-2. <i>See</i> Wise Decl. ¶ 153, Ex. 152 at 252. Table X-2 also contains values for sheer strength and fatigue strength that are unnecessary to understand the minimum value for the required tension tests. <i>Id</i> .	Disputed. Each standard that Public Resource posts is incorporated into law in its entirety. The Office of the Federal Register instructs that material should only be incorporated by reference into law "[i]f the material is necessary to understand or comply with the regulation." IBR Handbook at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decision as to what material to incorporate by reference, including the decision as to whether to incorporate an entire document or just part of a document, is a decision made by the regulatory agency. That regulatory agency's decision must be given deference over any possible quibble Plaintiffs might have as to whether a portion of an incorporated document is absolutely necessary to understand or comply with the regulation. After the regulatory agency determines what material to propose for incorporation into law, the Director of the Federal Register then reviews the proposed incorporation, and either approves or denies the incorporation. Public Resource therefore only posted material that federal agencies had determined was "necessary to understand or comply with [federal regulations]." IBR Handbook at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). Immaterial. How and whether Public Resource has identified an incorporating provision has no bearing on its fair use.
c. ASTM B283-96: PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.60-2 as the incorporating by reference regulation, Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150	Disputed. Each standard that Public Resource posts is incorporated into law in its entirety. The Office of the Federal Register instructs

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
at PRO_00092925, but that regulation only requires that tension tests shall be performed to determine tensile strength, yield strength, and elongation with the minimum values listed in Table 3 of ASTM B283-96. 46 C.F.R. § 56.60- 2. All portions of the standard other than Table 3 are unnecessary to comply with the regulation. Additionally, Table 3 provides Rockwell hardness measurements, which are also unnecessary to understand the minimum value required for other three measurements. <i>See</i> Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00092925.	that material should only be incorporated by reference into law "[i]f the material is necessary to understand or comply with the regulation." Becker Decl., ¶ 25, Ex. 58 (IBR Handbook) at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decision as to what material to incorporate by reference, including the decision as to whether to incorporate an entire document or just part of a document, is a decision made by the regulatory agency. That regulatory agency's decision must be given deference over any possible quibble Plaintiffs might have as to whether a portion of an incorporated document is absolutely necessary to understand or comply with the regulation. After the regulatory agency determines what material to propose for incorporation into law, the Director of the Federal Register then reviews the proposed incorporation. Public Resource therefore only posted material that federal agencies had determined was "necessary to understand or comply with [federal regulations]." IBR Handbook at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). Immaterial. How and whether Public Resource has identified an incorporating provision has no bearing on its fair use.
d. ASTM E23: PRO identifies 46 C.F.R. § 56.50-105(a)(1)(ii) as the incorporating by reference regulation, Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00106690, but that regulation requires testing for low temperature toughness using the Charpy V-notch specimen as shown in ASTM E23, Figure 4. 46 C.F.R. 56.50-105(a)(1)(ii). Only Figure 4—no other part of the standard—is necessary to determine the low temperature toughness. <i>Id</i> .	Disputed. Each standard that Public Resource posts is incorporated into law in its entirety. The Office of the Federal Register instructs that material should only be incorporated by reference into law "[i]f the material is necessary to understand or comply with the regulation." Becker Decl., ¶ 25, Ex. 58 (IBR Handbook) at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decision as to what material to incorporate by reference, including the decision as to whether to incorporate an entire document or just part of a document, is a decision made by the regulatory agency. That regulatory agency's decision must be given deference

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	over any possible quibble Plaintiffs might have as to whether a portion of an incorporated document is absolutely necessary to understand or comply with the regulation. After the regulatory agency determines what material to propose for incorporation into law, the Director of the Federal Register then reviews the proposed incorporation, and either approves or denies the incorporation. Public Resource therefore only posted material that federal agencies had determined was "necessary to understand or comply with [federal regulations]." (IBR Handbook) at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). Immaterial. How and whether Public Resource has identified an incorporating provision has no bearing on its fair use.
e. ASTM E145-94 (2001): PRO identifies 40 C.F.R. § 63.14 as the incorporating by reference regulation, Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_00106516, but Appendix A to Subpart PPPP of 40 C.F.R. § 63.14 references only forced draft oven types IIA or IIB. 40 C.F.R. § 63.14. The ASTM E145-94 (2001) standard addresses other types of forced draft ovens. <i>See</i> Wise Decl. ¶ 151, Ex. 150 at PRO_001065.	Disputed. Each standard that Public Resource posts is incorporated into law in its entirety. The Office of the Federal Register instructs that material should only be incorporated by reference into law "[i]f the material is necessary to understand or comply with the regulation." Becker Decl., ¶ 25, Ex. 58 (IBR Handbook) at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decision as to what material to incorporate by reference, including the decision as to whether to incorporate an entire document or just part of a document, is a decision made by the regulatory agency. That regulatory agency's decision must be given deference over any possible quibble Plaintiffs might have as to whether a portion of an incorporated document is absolutely necessary to understand or comply with the regulation. After the regulatory agency determines what material to propose for incorporation into law, the Director of the Federal Register then reviews the proposed incorporation, and either approves or denies the incorporation. Public Resource therefore only posted material that federal agencies had determined was

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	"necessary to understand or comply with [federal regulations]." (IBR Handbook) at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). Immaterial. How and whether Public Resource has identified an incorporating provision has no bearing on its fair use.
49. In addition, PRO posts certain ASTM Standards in their entirety, despite the fact that the incorporation by reference has no direct legal effect on any private party's conduct. As just one example, ASTM A307 is incorporated by reference in 46 C.F.R. § 56.25-20(b), which governs the design, construction, and installation of piping systems in marine vessels. The regulation provides that, with respect to bolts used in ships' and barges' piping systems, "[w]hen class 250 cast iron flanges are used or when class 125 cast iron flanges are used with ring gaskets, the bolting material must be carbon steel conforming to ASTM A307 (incorporated by reference, see 46 C.F.R. § 56.01-2), Grade B." 46 C.F.R. § 56.25-20(b). The persons governed by the regulation at issue—those who design, construct and install piping systems in marine vessels—do not need access to ASTM A307 to comply with this regulation. They simply have to purchase bolts that are designated as A307, Grade B bolts; they do not need to know how to manufacture such bolts.	Disputed. The complete ASTM A307 document is incorporated into law at 46 C.F.R. 56.01-2. Plaintiffs argue that 46 C.F.R. § 56.25-20(b) does not require those who design, construct, and install piping systems in marine vessels to actually read ASTM A307, because Plaintiffs assert that the regulated individual can simply outsource this responsibility to a third party that manufactures bolts. However, legal responsibility for compliance still rests on the regulated piping installers, and even if they choose to trust a third party to manufacture bolts for their purposes, they still would require access to ASTM A307 to ensure for themselves that the bolts they purchase are in compliance with the regulations. Immaterial. A law need not have a direct legal effect on any private party's conduct. The law providing for appointment of members of the Federal Election Commission is a law, regardless of whether it has a direct legal affect on any private party's conduct.
50. ASTM's standards also contain numerous non-mandatory portions that are aids or supplements to the standard. For example, ASTM's Manual of Style contains certain sections that must be included in each ASTM standard, such as the title of the standard. O'Brien Decl. Ex. 5 at A-2. Other sections, are only included when the subject matter is pertinent to the document. <i>Id</i> .	Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs imply any portion of the standards at issue are "non- mandatory" as law. Each standard that Public Resource posts is incorporated into law in its entirety. The Office of the Federal Register instructs that material should only be incorporated by reference into law "[i]f the material is necessary to understand or comply with the regulation." IBR Handbook at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decision as to what material to incorporate by reference, including the decision as to whether to

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	incorporate an entire document or just part of a document, is a decision made by the regulatory agency. That regulatory agency's decision must be given deference over any possible quibble Plaintiffs might have as to whether a portion of an incorporated document is absolutely necessary to understand or comply with the regulation. After the regulatory agency determines what material to propose for incorporation into law, the Director of the Federal Register then reviews the proposed incorporation. Public Resource therefore only posted material that federal agencies had determined was "necessary to understand or comply with [federal regulations]." IBR Handbook at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)).
51. ASTM standards may include the following non-mandatory sections:	Disputed. Each standard that Public Resource posts is incorporated into law in its entirety. The Office of the Federal Register instructs that material should only be incorporated by reference into law "[i]f the material is necessary to understand or comply with the regulation." Becker Decl., ¶ 25, Ex. 58 (IBR Handbook) at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decision as to what material to incorporate by reference, including the decision as to whether to incorporate an entire document or just part of a document, is a decision made by the regulatory agency. That regulatory agency's decision must be given deference over any possible quibble Plaintiffs might have as to whether a portion of an incorporated document is absolutely necessary to understand or comply with the regulation. After the regulatory agency determines what material to propose for incorporation into law, the Director of the Federal Register then reviews the proposed incorporation, and either approves or denies the incorporation. Public Resource therefore only posted material that federal agencies had determined was

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	"necessary to understand or comply with [federal regulations]." IBR Handbook at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)).
a. Appendixes: "Additional information may be included in one or more annexes or appendixes There are times when it is desirable to include in a specification additional information for general use and guidance but which does not constitute a mandatory part of the [standard]. <i>Id.</i> at B-8.	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 51. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over ASTM's opinion.
b. Summary of Changes: Identifies the "location of selected changes to [the] standard since the last issue" <i>Id.</i> at C-3.	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 51. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over ASTM's opinion.
c. Summary of Test Method: "[a] brief outline of the test method, describing in the passive voice its essential features without the details that are a necessary part of the complete statement of procedure." <i>Id.</i> at A-5.	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 51. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over ASTM's opinion.
d. Significance and Use: "[i]nclude in this section information that explains the	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 51. The respective federal agency

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts		8	Defendant	Public Resource's Response
relevance and meaning of the test. State the practical uses for the test and how it is typically employed." <i>Id.</i>			"necessary to applicable fee Director of th approved the their opinion of the regulatory	at the entire standard was understand or comply with [the leral regulation]," and the e Federal Register reviewed and incorporation. Plaintiffs may in disagree with the assessment of agency, but the agency's is due deference over ASTM's
 e. Supplementary requirements: "These should not include statements that would allow the lowering of minimum requirements of the standard Usually these only apply when specified by the purchaser in the purchase order or contract." <i>Id.</i> at B-7. 52. There are 61 ASTM standards at issue in this 		ould ts of vhen order	paragraph 51. determined th "necessary to applicable fee Director of th approved the their opinion	he reasons addressed above at The respective federal agency at the entire standard was understand or comply with [the leral regulation]," and the e Federal Register reviewed and incorporation. Plaintiffs may in disagree with the assessment of agency, but the agency's
motion that contain appendix		this		is due deference over ASTM's
		this	determination	
motion that contain appendix	xes. Wise Dec	this 1. at Edition	determination opinion. Pin Cite	
motion that contain appendix	tes. Wise Dec	this 1. at Edition 1994	determination opinion.	
motion that contain appendix	standard A106/A106 M B21	ethis 1. at 1994 1979a	Pin Cite ASTM000200-01. ASTM000363.	
motion that contain appendix	xes. Wise Dec Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68	this 1. at Edition 1994	determination opinion.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124	this I. at 1994 1979a 1996 1997 1984	Pin Cite ASTM000200-01. ASTM000363. ASTM000499. ASTM000499.99. ASTM000495.07.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209	ethis 1. at 1994 1979a 1996 1997 1996 1997 1984 1995	determination opinion. Pin Cite ASTM000200-01. ASTM000363. ASTM000469. ASTM000365.07. ASTM000375.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283	Edition 1994 1979a 1996 1997 1984 1995	determination opinion. ASTM00200-01. ASTM000363. ASTM000498. ASTM000498. ASTM000375. ASTM000375.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86	Edition 1994 1979a 1996 1997 1995 1995 1995	determination opinion. ASTM00200-01. ASTM000363. ASTM000498-99. ASTM000498-99. ASTM000305-07. ASTM000385.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512	Edition 1994 1979a 1996 1997 1986 1997 1985 1995 1995 1980e 1	determination opinion.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975	Edition 1994 1979a 1996 1997 1995 1995 1995	determination opinion. ASTM00200-01. ASTM000363. ASTM000498-99. ASTM000498-99. ASTM000305-07. ASTM000385.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D2216	Edition 1994 1979a 1996 1995 1995 1996 1980e 1 1996	determination opinion. ASTM00200-01. ASTM000469. ASTM000498-99. ASTM000498-99. ASTM000305-07. ASTM000305-07. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM000463.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D2216 D4329	Edition 1994 1979a 1996 1995 1995 1995 1996 1980e 1 1996 1999	determination opinion. ASTM000200-01. ASTM000363. ASTM000469. ASTM000469. ASTM000375. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM0003452.54	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D2216 D4329 D4986	Edition 1994 1979a 1996 1997 1996 1997 1995 1995 1995 1995 1996 1996 1996 1993	determination opinion. Pin Cite ASTM000200-01. ASTM000363. ASTM000469. ASTM000498-99. ASTM000355. ASTM000355. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM000436.39. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000491-92.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D2216 D4329 D4986 A106/A106 M B21	Edition 1994 1979a 1996 1997 1996 1997 1984 1995 1995 1996 1980e 1 1996 1980e 1 1996 1993 1979 1980e 1993 1979	determination opinion. ASTM00200-01. ASTM000363. ASTM00049. ASTM000498-99. ASTM000498-99. ASTM000305-07. ASTM000305-07. ASTM000305. ASTM000305. ASTM000436.39. ASTM000436.39. ASTM000436.31. ASTM000436.31. ASTM000436.31. ASTM000452.34 ASTM000453.34 ASTM000523.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D2216 D4329 D4986 A106/A106 M B21 B68	Edition 1994 1979a 1996 1997 1996 1995 1996 1995 1996 1993 1996 1993 1979 1980e 1979 1986 1979(1997) 1989(1993)*	Pin Cite ASTM000200-01. ASTM000363. ASTM000363. ASTM000363. ASTM000375. ASTM000385. ASTM000564. ASTM000570.71.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D2216 D4329 D4986 A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75	Edition 1994 1979a 1996 1997 1995 1995 1995 1995 1996 1980e 1 1996 1979(1997) 1986 1979(1997) 1984 1970(1982)	Pin Cite ASTM000200-01. ASTM000200-01. ASTM000363. ASTM000363. ASTM000375. ASTM000375. ASTM000385. ASTM000452-54 ASTM000463. ASTM000453. ASTM000452. ASTM000453. ASTM000453. ASTM000453. ASTM000453. ASTM000507. ASTM000570. ASTM000570. ASTM000570.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D216 D4329 D4986 A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124	Edition 1994 1979a 1996 1997 1996 1997 19884 1995 1995 1996 1980e 1 1996 1990e 1990e 1990e 1993 1979 1984 1979(1993)* 1984 1970(1982) 2007	determination opinion. ASTM00200-01. ASTM000363. ASTM000499. ASTM000498-99. ASTM000498-99. ASTM000305-07. ASTM000305-07. ASTM000305. ASTM000385. ASTM000436.39. ASTM000436.39. ASTM000436.39. ASTM000436.39. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000597. ASTM000597. ASTM000597.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D2216 D4329 D4986 A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 D216 D4986 A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209	Edition 1994 1994 1995 1996 1997 1986 1995 1996 1980e 1 1996 1980e 1 1996 1993 1997 1988 1979 1988 1979 1989 1979(1997) 1988 1979(1997) 1988 1970(1982) 2007	determination opinion. ASTM00200-01. ASTM000363. ASTM000363. ASTM000469. ASTM000365. ASTM000355. ASTM000355. ASTM000351. ASTM000352.54 ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM00052.54 ASTM000523. 4 ASTM000523. 4 ASTM000523. 4 ASTM000597. ASTM000597. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM000998-1000.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D2216 D4329 D4986 A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283	Edition 1994 1979a 1996 1997 1996 1997 1995 1996 1995 1996 1996 1996 1993 1979 1980e 1979(1997) 1984 1979(1993)* 1984 1970(1982) 2007 1998	Pin Cite ASTM000200-01. ASTM000200-01. ASTM000363. ASTM000469. ASTM000375. ASTM000385. ASTM000452-54 ASTM000463. ASTM000452.54 ASTM000463. ASTM000452.54 ASTM000463.90 ASTM000463.91 ASTM000470.23 ASTM000564. ASTM000577.1 ASTM000597.14. ASTM00098-100. ASTM001995.14.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D216 D4986 A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 D975 D216 D4986 A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B218 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86	Edition 1994 1994 1994 1995 1996 1997 1986 1995 1995 1995 1996 1996 1990 1996 1990 1993 1979 1984 1979 1984 1979 1989 1993 1979 1989 1993 1979 1989 1993 1979 1989 1979 1989 1979 1989 1979 1989 1979 1980 1979 1980 1979 1980 1979 1980 1979 1980 1979 1980 1979 1980 1979 1980 1979 1980 1979 1980 1979 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995	Pin Cite ASTM000200-01. ASTM000200-01. ASTM000469. ASTM000498-99. ASTM000363. ASTM0003505-07. ASTM000380-81. ASTM000380-81. ASTM000482-54 ASTM000463. ASTM000597. ASTM000597. ASTM000597. ASTM001005. ASTM001005. ASTM001020.44.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D2216 D4986 A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 D12 D975 D216 D4986 A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B233 D86 D512	Edition 1994 1994 1995 1996 1997 1996 1997 1980e 1 1996 1993 1979 1980e 1 1996 1979 1980e 1 1999 1989 1979 1989 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1988 1979 1979	determination opinion. ASTM000200-01. ASTM000363. ASTM000363. ASTM000469. ASTM000469. ASTM000350-07. ASTM000350-07. ASTM000350-07. ASTM000353. ASTM000436-39. ASTM000436-39. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000491-92. ASTM00052. ASTM00052. ASTM00052. ASTM00052. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-15. ASTM001208-15. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-15. ASTM001208-15. ASTM001208-15. ASTM001208-15. ASTM001208-15. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-15. ASTM001208-15. ASTM001208-15. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-15. ASTM001208-15. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-15. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-15. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D2216 D4329 D4986 A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 D4329 D4986 A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975	Edition 1994 1979a 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1996 1997 1980e 1979 1980e 1979(1997) 1984 1979(1993)* 1984 1979(1993)* 1984 1970(1982) 2007 1988 1998	Pin Cite ASTM000200-01. ASTM000303. ASTM000363. ASTM000363. ASTM000305.07. ASTM000380-81. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000385. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000463. ASTM000505.77. ASTM000452.54 ASTM000452.54 ASTM000564. ASTM000570. ASTM000593. ASTM000593. ASTM000593. ASTM000597. ASTM001095. ASTM001095. ASTM00128.43. ASTM00129.34. ASTM00123.43. ASTM00123.43	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D216 D4986 A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 D0975 D216 D4986 A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D216 D975 D2216	Edition 1994 1994 1994 1997a 1996 1997 1984 1995 1995 1995 1996 1980e1 1996 1990 1994 1997 1984 1993 1979 1984 1979(1997) 1984 1977(1982) 2007 1998 1990(1994) 2007 1998 1990(1994) 2007	Pin Cite ASTM000200-01. ASTM000200-01. ASTM000469. ASTM000469. ASTM000363. ASTM000305.07. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM000463. ASTM000597. ASTM000597. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-53. aSTM001095. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001095. ASTM001698. ASTM001698. ASTM001698. ASTM001698. ASTM001698.	
motion that contain appendix	Standard A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D2216 D4986 A106/A106 M B21 B68 B75 B124 D2909 B283 D866 D512 D975 D2216 D4329	Edition 1994 1979a 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1996 1997 1980e 1979 1980e 1979(1997) 1984 1979(1993)* 1984 1979(1993)* 1984 1970(1982) 2007 1988 1998	Pin Cite ASTM000200-01. ASTM000200-01. ASTM000469. ASTM000469. ASTM000363. ASTM000305.07. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM000385. ASTM000463. ASTM000597. ASTM000597. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-53. aSTM001095. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001208-14. ASTM001095. ASTM001698. ASTM001698. ASTM001698. ASTM001698. ASTM001698.	

	Standard	Edition	Pin Cite	
	B21	1986	ASTM000722-25.	
	B68 B75	1997 1996	ASTM000727-29.	
	B124	1996	ASTM000752-56. ASTM000781-82.	
	B209	1998	ASTM000796-800.	
	B283	1991(1996)	ASTM000806-807.	
	D86	1998	ASTM000896-901.	
	D512 D975	1996 1996	ASTM000918-19. ASTM000956-57.	
	D975 D2216	1996	ASTM000950-57.	
	D4329	1998	ASTM000984-86.	
	D4986	1995	ASTM001042-44.	
	A106/A106 M	1998	ASTM001088-89.	
	B21 B68	1996 1998a	ASTM001113. ASTM001122-23.	
	B75	1998a	ASTM001122-25. ASTM001147-60.	
	B124	1982	ASTM001342-44.	
	B209	1980	ASTM001405-406.	
	B283	1995	ASTM001446-48.	
	D86	1971	ASTM001736-37.	
	D512 D975	1980 1985	ASTM001376-79. ASTM001744.	
	D2216	1987(1992)	ASTM001388-90.	
	D4329	1997	ASTM001414.	
	D4986	1980(1985)	Page 829.	
	A106/A106 M	1993	ASTM001632.	
	B21 B75	1982a(1988) 1992	ASTM001636-37. ASTM001561-74.	
	B124	1992	ASTM001501-74.	
	B209	1993	ASTM001588-93.	
	B283	1999	ASTM001609-11.	
	D86	1990	ASTM001681-82.	
	D512	1997	ASTM001668-69.	
There are 12 ASTM	D975	2000a	ASTM001674-78.	
There are 13 ASTM s notion that contain a s Decl. ¶ 148, Ex. 149.	standards at iss	sue in	ASTM001674-78.	
notion that contain a s	standards at iss ummary of cha	sue in inges.	Pin Cite	
otion that contain a s	standards at iss ummary of cha Standard B21	Edition	Pin Cite ASTM000722-25.	
otion that contain a s	standards at iss ummary of cha Standard B21 B68	Edition 1986 1997	Pin Cite ASTM000722-25. ASTM000727-29.	
otion that contain a s	standards at iss ummary of cha B21 B68 B75	Edition 1986 1997 1996	Pin Cite ASTM000722-25. ASTM000727-29. ASTM000752-56.	
otion that contain a s	standards at iss ummary of cha Standard B21 B68	Edition 1986 1997	Pin Cite ASTM000722-25. ASTM000727-29.	
otion that contain a s	standards at iss ummary of cha B21 B68 B75 B124	Edition 1986 1997 1996 1996	Pin Cite ASTM000722-25. ASTM000722-29. ASTM000752-56. ASTM000781-82.	
otion that contain a s	standards at iss ummary of cha B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86	Edition 1986 1997 1996 1998 1991(1996) 1998	Pin Cite ASTM000722-25. ASTM000752-56. ASTM000781-82. ASTM000786-800. ASTM000806-807. ASTM000806-807.	
otion that contain a s	standards at iss ummary of cha B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512	Edition 1986 1997 1996 1996 1998 1991(1996) 1998 1998	Pin Cite ASTM000722-25. ASTM000727-29. ASTM000752-56. ASTM000781-82. ASTM000786-800. ASTM000866-807. ASTM000866-901. ASTM000918-19.	
otion that contain a s	standards at iss ummary of cha B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975	Edition 1986 1997 1996 1998 1991(1996) 1998 1999 1996 1996	Pin Cite ASTM000722-25. ASTM000752-56. ASTM000781-82. ASTM000781-82. ASTM000786-800. ASTM00096-807. ASTM000918-19. ASTM000918-19. ASTM000956-57.	
otion that contain a s	standards at iss ummary of cha B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D2216	Edition 1986 1997 1996 1998 1991(1996) 1998 1991(1996) 1996 1996 1996	Pin Cite ASTM000722-25. ASTM000752-56. ASTM000781-82. ASTM000786-800. ASTM000806-807. ASTM000806-807. ASTM000806-901. ASTM000956-57. ASTM000956-56.	
otion that contain a s	standards at iss ummary of cha B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975	Edition 1986 1997 1996 1998 1991(1996) 1998 1999 1996 1996	Pin Cite ASTM000722-25. ASTM000752-56. ASTM000781-82. ASTM000781-82. ASTM000786-800. ASTM00096-807. ASTM000918-19. ASTM000918-19. ASTM000956-57.	
otion that contain a s	Standards at iss ummary of cha B21 B68 B75 B124 B209 B283 D86 D512 D975 D2216 D4329	Edition 1986 1997 1996 1996 1998 1991(1996) 1998 1996 1996 1996 1996 1996	Pin Cite ASTM000722-25. ASTM000727-29. ASTM000752-56. ASTM000796-800. ASTM00086-807. ASTM00086-807. ASTM00086-901. ASTM00095-57. ASTM00095-56. ASTM000984-86.	

Standard	Edition	Pin Cite
C177	Edition 1997	Pin Cite ASTM000534-35.
C236	1989(1993)° ¹	ASTM000555.
C518	1991	ASTM000578.
D86	2007	ASTM001190.
D129	1995	ASTM000631.
D512	1989(1999)	ASTM001091-93.
D611	1982(1998)	ASTM001124.
D665	1998e 1	ASTM001181.
D1217	1993(1998)	ASTM000601.
D1246	1995(1999)	ASTM000606.
D1253 D1266	1986(1996) 1998	ASTM000610. ASTM000614-15.
D1200 D1298	1998	ASTM000626.
D1258 D1335	1967(1972)	ASTM000036.
D1480	1993(1997)	ASTM000647.
D1481	1993(1997)	ASTM000653.
D1552	1995	ASTM000693
D1688	1995	ASTM000707-08;
		ASTM000710.
D1890	1996	ASTM000731.
D1943	1996	ASTM000736.
D1945	1996	ASTM000741.
D2013	1986(1994)	ASTM000763.
D2015	1996	ASTM000774-75.
D2036	1998	ASTM000783-84.
D2163	1991(1996)	ASTM000802.
D2216	1998	ASTM000809.
D2460	1997	ASTM000830.
D2502	1992(1996)	ASTM000835.
D2503 D2597	1992(1997)	ASTM000839.
D2597	1994(1999) 1998	ASTM000865-66. ASTM000875.
D2022	1993	Page 2.
D2724 D2879	1987(1995)	ASTM000903-04.
D3120	1996	ASTM000914.
D3173	1987(1996)	ASTM000920.
D3178	1989(1997)	ASTM000929.
D3236	1988(1999)	ASTM000943.
D3246	1996	ASTM000951.
D3286	1996	ASTM000958-59.
D3454	1997	ASTM000971.
D3697	1992(1996)	ASTM000987.
D4239	1997°1	ASTM001045-46.
D4294	1998	ASTM001061.
D4809	1995	ASTM001072.
D4891	1989(1994)° ¹	ASTM001080.
D4986	1998	ASTM001084.
D5257	1997	ASTM001097-98.
D5373	1993(1997)	ASTM001103-04.
D5673	1996	ASTM001108.
D5865	1998a	ASTM001114-15. ASTM001161-62.
D6228 D6420	1998 1999	ASTM001101-02. ASTM001168.
D6503	1999	ASTM001174.
E23	1982	ASTM001321.
E96	1995	ASTM001441-42.
E283	1991(1999)	ASTM001258.
E408	1971	ASTM001730-31.
E424	1971	ASTM001733.
E681	1985	ASTM001738.
E (05	1979(1997)° ¹	ASTM001380.
E695	1987(1992)	ASTM001384.
E711	1987(1992)	ASTM001749.
E711 E776		ASTM001416-17.
E711 E776 E885	1988	
E711 E776	1988 1990(1996) 1993	ASTM001268. ASTM001582-83.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Ma	aterial Fa	cts	Defendant	Public Resource's Response
	Standard	Edition	Pin Cite	
	B557	1984	ASTM000470.	
	C177	1997	ASTM000535-36.	
	C236	1989(1993) ^{el}	ASTM000555-56.	
	C509	1984	ASTM000566-67.	
	C518	1991	ASTM000578-79.	
	D86	2007	ASTM001190.	
	Standard	Edition	Pin Cite	
	D512	1989(1999)	ASTM001090.	
	D611	1982(1998)	ASTM001124.	
	D665	1998 ^{el}	ASTM001181-82.	
	D814	1995	ASTM001717.	
	D1217	1993(1998)	ASTM000601.	
	D1246	1995(1999)	ASTM000606.	
	D1253	1986(1996)	ASTM000610.	
	D1266	1998	ASTM000615.	
	D1298	1999	ASTM000626.	
	D1335	1967(1972)	ASTM000636.	
	D1412	1993(1997)	ASTM000639.	
	D1480	1993(1997)	ASTM000647.	
	D1481	1993(1997)	ASTM000653.	
	D1518	1985(1998) ^{el}	ASTM000660.	
	D1552	1995	ASTM000693.	
	D1688	1995	ASTM000706.	
	D1835	1997	ASTM000728-29.	
	D1890	1996	ASTM000731.	
	D1943	1996	ASTM000736-37.	
	D1945	1996	ASTM000741.	
	D1946	1990(1994) ^{el}	ASTM000757.	
	D2013	1986(1994)	ASTM000763.	
	D2015	1996	ASTM000775.	
	D2036	1998	ASTM000784.	
	D2163	1991(1996)	ASTM000802.	
	D2216	1998	ASTM000809.	
	D2234	1998	ASTM000814.	
	D2460	1997	ASTM000830.	
	D2502	1992(1996)	ASTM000835.	
	D2503	1992(1997)	ASTM000839.	
	D2597	1994(1999)	ASTM000866.	
	D2622	1998	ASTM000875-76.	
	D2724	1987(1995)	Page 2.	
	D2777	1998	ASTM000889.	
	D2879	1997	ASTM000904.	
	D3120	1996	ASTM000914.	
	D3173	1987(1996)	ASTM000920	

Plaintiffs' Statement of Ma	Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts		Defendant Public Resource's Response	
	Standard	Edition	Pin Cite	
	D3178	1989(1997)	ASTM000929.	
	D3236	1988(1999)	ASTM000943-44.	
	D3246	1996	ASTM000951.	
	D3286	1996	ASTM000959.	
	D3371	1995	ASTM000967.	
	D3454	1997	ASTM000971-72.	
	D3588	1998	ASTM000979-80.	
	D3697	1992(1996)	ASTM000987.	
	D4177	1995	ASTM001020.	
	D4239	1997 ^{e1}	ASTM001046.	
	D4268	1993	ASTM001055-59.	
	D4294	1998	ASTM001061.	
	D4329	1999	ASTM001066-67.	
	D4809	1995	ASTM001072.	
	D4891	1989(1994) ^{el}		
	D4986	1998	ASTM001084-85.	
	D5257	1997	ASTM001098.	
	D5373	1993(1997)	ASTM001104.	
	D5489 D5673	1996a 1996	Page 775. ASTM001108.	
	D5073 D5865	1996 1998a	ASTM001108. ASTM001115.	
	D5805 D6216	1998a	ASTM001115. ASTM001135-36.	
	D6228	1998	ASTM001153-50.	
	20220			
	D6420	1999	ASTM001168.	
	D6503	1999	ASTM001174.	
	E23	1982	ASTM001321.	
	E72	1980	ASTM001393-400.	
	E96	1995	ASTM001442.	
	E169 E185	1987 1982	ASTM001297-98. ASTM001312-13.	
	E260	1982	ASTM001312-13.	
	E283	1991(1999)	ASTM001258.	
	E424	1971	ASTM001733.	
	E606	1980	ASTM001367-68.	
	E681	1985	ASTM001738.	
	E695	1979(1997) ^{el}	ASTM001380.	
	Standard	Edition	Pin Cite	
	E711	1987(1992)	ASTM001385.	
	E773	1997	ASTM001407.	
	E776 E885	1987(1992) 1988	Yes, ASTM001749. ASTM001417.	
	E1337	1990(1996)	ASTM001268.	
	F478	1990(1990)	ASTM001208. ASTM001620.	
	F631	1992(1999)	Page 826-27.	
	F631	1993	ASTM001629.	
	F715	1981(1986)	Page 803.	
	F715	1995	ASTM 1638.	
	F808	1983(1988) ^{el}	ASTM001652 - 53.	
	F1321	1992	ASTM001548.	
	F1471	1993	ASTM001583.	
	G21	1990	ASTM001679	
	G151 G154	1997 2000a	ASTM001661 - 62. ASTM001671	
	Standard	Edition	Pin Cite	
	B88	1996	ASTM000517.	
	B96	1993	ASTM000521.	
	B111 B283	1995 1996	ASTM000374-75. ASTM000451.	
	E11	1996	ASTM000451. ASTM001265.	
	F1120	1987(1998)	ASTM001466.	

	Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts			rce's Response
56. There are 23 ASTM standards at issue in this motion that contain a supplementary requirements section. Wise Decl. ¶ 148, Ex. 149.				
	Standard	Edition	Pin Cite	
	A36	1977a°	Pages (136-38).	
	A36/A36M A106/A106 M	1997a ^{e1} 2004b	ASTM003436. Pages 7-8.	
	A203/A203 M	1997	ASTM000171.	
	A242	1979	ASTM000182.	
	A285	1978	ASTM000184-85.	
	A333/A 333M	1994	ASTM000200.	
	A369/A 369M A441	1992 1979	ASTM000205. ASTM000262-63.	
	A514	1979	ASTM000202-05. ASTM000309-11.	
	A522/A 522M	1995b	ASTM000321.	
	A572	1979	ASTM000330-31.	
	A588	1979a	ASTM000332-33.	
	A615 A633	1979	ASTM000342.	
	B16	1979a 1992	ASTM000361-62. ASTM000392.	
	B42	1996	ASTM000468.	
57. Each of NFPA's 23 standards at issue in this case include sections that are optional, or permissive, designated by the language "shall be			posts is incorporated into law The Office of the Federal Re	v in its entirety. gister instructs
			Disputed. Each standard that	
permitted" or "shall not be required." As			that material should only be i	-
xplained in the 2014 NEC: "Permissive rules of			notonon an into low "[i]f the m	
-			reference into law "[i]f the m	naterial is
this Code are those that	identify actions	that are	necessary to understand or co	aterial is omply with the
-	identify actions	that are		aterial is omply with the
this Code are those that allowed but not required	identify actions d, are normally	that are used to	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook	aterial is omply with the at p. 2 (citing 5
this Code are those that allowed but not required <i>describe options or alter</i>	identify actions d, are normally <i>native methods</i>	that are used to , and are	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisi	aterial is omply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what
this Code are those that allowed but not required <i>describe options or alter</i> characterized by the use	identify actions d, are normally <i>mative methods</i> e of the terms	that are used to , and are <i>shall be</i>	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisi material to incorporate by ref	haterial is comply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what ference, including
this Code are those that allowed but not required <i>describe options or alter</i> characterized by the use <i>permitted</i> or <i>shall not be</i>	identify actions d, are normally <i>mative methods</i> e of the terms <i>required</i> ." Supp	that are used to , and are <i>shall be</i> o. Pauley	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisi material to incorporate by ref the decision as to whether to	haterial is comply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what ference, including incorporate an
this Code are those that allowed but not required describe options or alter characterized by the use permitted or shall not be Decl. ¶ 27, Ex. P (NFP	identify actions d, are normally <i>mative methods</i> e of the terms <i>required</i> ." Supp A 70, 2014 ed	that are used to , and are <i>shall be</i> . Pauley .) at art.	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisist material to incorporate by ref the decision as to whether to entire document or just part of	haterial is comply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what ference, including incorporate an of a document, is a
this Code are those that allowed but not required <i>describe options or alter</i> characterized by the use <i>permitted</i> or <i>shall not be</i>	identify actions d, are normally <i>mative methods</i> e of the terms <i>required</i> ." Supp A 70, 2014 ed	that are used to , and are <i>shall be</i> . Pauley .) at art.	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisi material to incorporate by ref the decision as to whether to	haterial is comply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what ference, including incorporate an of a document, is a
this Code are those that allowed but not required describe options or alter characterized by the use permitted or shall not be Decl. ¶ 27, Ex. P (NFP 90.5(B) (NFPA-PR0098	identify actions d, are normally <i>native methods</i> e of the terms <i>required</i> ." Supp A 70, 2014 ed 088) (emphasis	that are used to , and are <i>shall be</i> .) at art. added).	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisis material to incorporate by ref the decision as to whether to entire document or just part of decision made by the regulat	haterial is comply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what ference, including incorporate an of a document, is a ory agency. That
this Code are those that allowed but not required describe options or alter characterized by the use permitted or shall not be Decl. ¶ 27, Ex. P (NFP 90.5(B) (NFPA-PR0098 An example of such an	identify actions d, are normally <i>native methods</i> e of the terms <i>required</i> ." Supp A 70, 2014 ed 088) (emphasis optional rule i	that are used to , and are <i>shall be</i> b. Pauley .) at art. added). is article	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisis material to incorporate by ref the decision as to whether to entire document or just part of decision made by the regulate regulatory agency's decision	haterial is comply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what ference, including incorporate an of a document, is a ory agency. That must be given
this Code are those that allowed but not required describe options or alter characterized by the use permitted or shall not be Decl. ¶ 27, Ex. P (NFP 90.5(B) (NFPA-PR0098 An example of such an 324.56(A) of the 2014	identify actions d, are normally <i>native methods</i> e of the terms <i>required</i> ." Supp A 70, 2014 ed 088) (emphasis optional rule i NEC regardin	that are used to , and are <i>shall be</i> .) Pauley .) at art. added). is article ng FCC	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisist material to incorporate by ref the decision as to whether to entire document or just part of decision made by the regulator regulatory agency's decision deference over any possible of	haterial is comply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what ference, including incorporate an of a document, is a ory agency. That must be given quibble Plaintiffs
this Code are those that allowed but not required describe options or alter characterized by the use permitted or shall not be Decl. ¶ 27, Ex. P (NFP 90.5(B) (NFPA-PR0098 An example of such an 324.56(A) of the 2014 Systems Alterations, whi	identify actions d, are normally <i>native methods</i> e of the terms <i>required</i> ." Supp A 70, 2014 ed 088) (emphasis optional rule i NEC regarding ch states "Alter	that are used to , and are <i>shall be</i> .) Pauley .) at art. added). as article ng FCC ations to	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisis material to incorporate by ref the decision as to whether to entire document or just part of decision made by the regulator regulatory agency's decision deference over any possible of might have as to whether a p	haterial is comply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what ference, including incorporate an of a document, is a ory agency. That must be given quibble Plaintiffs ortion of an
this Code are those that allowed but not required describe options or alter characterized by the use permitted or shall not be Decl. ¶ 27, Ex. P (NFP 90.5(B) (NFPA-PR0098 An example of such an 324.56(A) of the 2014 Systems Alterations, whi FCC systems shall be per	identify actions d, are normally <i>native methods</i> e of the terms <i>required</i> ." Supp A 70, 2014 ed 088) (emphasis optional rule i NEC regardin ch states "Alter rmitted." <i>Id.</i> Ex	that are used to , and are <i>shall be</i> .) Pauley .) at art. added). as article ng FCC ations to	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisis material to incorporate by ref the decision as to whether to entire document or just part of decision made by the regulate regulatory agency's decision deference over any possible of might have as to whether a po- incorporated document is abs	aterial is omply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what ference, including incorporate an of a document, is a ory agency. That must be given quibble Plaintiffs ortion of an solutely necessary
this Code are those that allowed but not required describe options or alter characterized by the use permitted or shall not be Decl. ¶ 27, Ex. P (NFP 90.5(B) (NFPA-PR0098 An example of such an 324.56(A) of the 2014 Systems Alterations, whi	identify actions d, are normally <i>native methods</i> e of the terms <i>required</i> ." Supp A 70, 2014 ed 088) (emphasis optional rule i NEC regardin ch states "Alter rmitted." <i>Id.</i> Ex	that are used to , and are <i>shall be</i> .) Pauley .) at art. added). as article ng FCC ations to	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisis material to incorporate by ref the decision as to whether to entire document or just part of decision made by the regulator regulatory agency's decision deference over any possible of might have as to whether a p	aterial is omply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what ference, including incorporate an of a document, is a ory agency. That must be given quibble Plaintiffs ortion of an solutely necessary
this Code are those that allowed but not required describe options or alter characterized by the use permitted or shall not be Decl. ¶ 27, Ex. P (NFP 90.5(B) (NFPA-PR0098 An example of such an 324.56(A) of the 2014 Systems Alterations, whi FCC systems shall be per	identify actions d, are normally <i>native methods</i> e of the terms <i>required</i> ." Supp A 70, 2014 ed 088) (emphasis optional rule i NEC regardin ch states "Alter rmitted." <i>Id.</i> Ex	that are used to , and are <i>shall be</i> .) Pauley .) at art. added). as article ng FCC ations to	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisis material to incorporate by ref the decision as to whether to entire document or just part of decision made by the regulat regulatory agency's decision deference over any possible of might have as to whether a p- incorporated document is abs to understand or comply with	aterial is omply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what ference, including incorporate an of a document, is a ory agency. That must be given quibble Plaintiffs ortion of an solutely necessary in the regulation.
this Code are those that allowed but not required describe options or alter characterized by the use permitted or shall not be Decl. ¶ 27, Ex. P (NFP 90.5(B) (NFPA-PR0098 An example of such an 324.56(A) of the 2014 Systems Alterations, whi FCC systems shall be per	identify actions d, are normally <i>native methods</i> e of the terms <i>required</i> ." Supp A 70, 2014 ed 088) (emphasis optional rule i NEC regardin ch states "Alter rmitted." <i>Id.</i> Ex	that are used to , and are <i>shall be</i> .) Pauley .) at art. added). as article ng FCC ations to	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisis material to incorporate by ref the decision as to whether to entire document or just part of decision made by the regulate regulatory agency's decision deference over any possible of might have as to whether a po- incorporated document is abs to understand or comply with After the regulatory agency of	aterial is omply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what ference, including incorporate an of a document, is a ory agency. That must be given quibble Plaintiffs ortion of an solutely necessary the regulation. determines what
this Code are those that allowed but not required describe options or alter characterized by the use permitted or shall not be Decl. ¶ 27, Ex. P (NFP 90.5(B) (NFPA-PR0098 An example of such an 324.56(A) of the 2014 Systems Alterations, whi FCC systems shall be per	identify actions d, are normally <i>native methods</i> e of the terms <i>required</i> ." Supp A 70, 2014 ed 088) (emphasis optional rule i NEC regardin ch states "Alter rmitted." <i>Id.</i> Ex	that are used to , and are <i>shall be</i> .) Pauley .) at art. added). as article ng FCC ations to	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisis material to incorporate by ref the decision as to whether to entire document or just part of decision made by the regulate regulatory agency's decision deference over any possible of might have as to whether a po- incorporated document is abset to understand or comply with After the regulatory agency of material to propose for incorporated	aterial is omply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what ference, including incorporate an of a document, is a ory agency. That must be given quibble Plaintiffs ortion of an solutely necessary the regulation. letermines what poration into law,
this Code are those that allowed but not required describe options or alter characterized by the use permitted or shall not be Decl. ¶ 27, Ex. P (NFP 90.5(B) (NFPA-PR0098 An example of such an 324.56(A) of the 2014 Systems Alterations, whi FCC systems shall be per	identify actions d, are normally <i>native methods</i> e of the terms <i>required</i> ." Supp A 70, 2014 ed 088) (emphasis optional rule i NEC regardin ch states "Alter rmitted." <i>Id.</i> Ex	that are used to , and are <i>shall be</i> .) Pauley .) at art. added). as article ng FCC ations to	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisis material to incorporate by ref the decision as to whether to entire document or just part of decision made by the regulate regulatory agency's decision deference over any possible of might have as to whether a p- incorporated document is abs to understand or comply with After the regulatory agency of material to propose for incorr the Director of the Federal R	haterial is comply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what ference, including incorporate an of a document, is a ory agency. That must be given quibble Plaintiffs ortion of an solutely necessary in the regulation. determines what poration into law, egister then
this Code are those that allowed but not required describe options or alter characterized by the use permitted or shall not be Decl. ¶ 27, Ex. P (NFP 90.5(B) (NFPA-PR0098 An example of such an 324.56(A) of the 2014 Systems Alterations, whi FCC systems shall be per	identify actions d, are normally <i>native methods</i> e of the terms <i>required</i> ." Supp A 70, 2014 ed 088) (emphasis optional rule i NEC regardin ch states "Alter rmitted." <i>Id.</i> Ex	that are used to , and are <i>shall be</i> .) at art. added). a article ng FCC ations to	necessary to understand or corregulation." IBR Handbook U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decisis material to incorporate by ref the decision as to whether to entire document or just part of decision made by the regulate regulatory agency's decision deference over any possible of might have as to whether a po- incorporated document is abset to understand or comply with After the regulatory agency of material to propose for incorporated	aterial is omply with the at p. 2 (citing 5 on as to what ference, including incorporate an of a document, is a ory agency. That must be given quibble Plaintiffs ortion of an solutely necessary the regulation. determines what poration into law, egister then oration, and either

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	Resource therefore only posted material that federal agencies had determined was "necessary to understand or comply with [federal regulations]." IBR Handbook at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)).
58. Similar optional provisions appear throughout the standards. <i>See</i> Supp. Pauley Decl. Ex. A (NFPA 1, 2003 ed.) at ch. 10.13.3.10 at 1- 49 (NFPA-PR0013107); Ex. B (NFPA 1, 2006 ed.) at ch. 20.5.2.3.2 at 1-139 (NFPA- PR0013654); Ex. C (NFPA 10, 2002 ed.) at ch. 6.1.6 at 10-12 (NFPA-PR0014084); Ex. D (NFPA 11, 2005 ed.) at ch. 6.10.2.3 at 11-25 (NFPA- PR0014167); Ex. E (NFPA 12, 2005 ed.) at ch. 4.3.3.1.2 at 12-8 (NFPA-PR0014251); Ex. F (NFPA 13, 2002 ed.) at ch. 9.2.4.2 at 13- 85 (NFPA-PR0014394); Ex. G (NFPA 25, 2002 ed.) at ch. 13.2.3.3 at 25-40 (NFPA- PR0020279); Ex. H (NFPA 30, 2003 ed.) at ch. 7.3.7.7 at 30-64 (NFPA-PR0014720); Ex. I (NFPA 54 (2006 ed.) at ch. 5.3.2.2 at 54-17 (NFPA-PR0014807); Ex. J (NFPA 58, 2001 ed.) at ch. 8.2.2.6 at 58-60 (NFPA-PR0015018); Ex. K (NFPA 58, 2004 ed.) at ch. 5.7.1.4(C) at 58-15 (NFPA-PR0015096); Ex. L (NFPA 59, 2004 ed.) at ch. 5.5.2.6 at 59-13 (NFPA-PR0015228); Ex. M (NFPA 70, 1999 ed.) at ch. 240-23 at 70-76 (NFPA-PR0015342); Ex. N (NFPA 70, 2005 ed.) at ch. 504.70 at 70-369 (NFPA- PR0016284); Ex. O (NFPA 70, 2008 ed.) at ch. 517.77 at 70-441 (NFPA-PR0017137); Ex. P (NFPA 70, 2014 ed.) at ch. 324.56(A) at 70-197 (NFPA-PR0098260); Ex. Q (NFPA 72, 2002 ed.) at ch. 11.3.7 at 72-105 (NFPA-PR0018525); Ex. R (NFPA 99, 2005 ed.) at ch. 11.7.2.4 at 99-104 (NFPA-PR0018791); Ex. S (NFPA 101, 2000 ed.) at ch. 13.7.44.3 at 101-123 (NFPA- PR0019081); Ex. T (NFPA-PR0019422); Ex. U (NFPA 101, 2006 ed.) at ch. 36.4.4.3.1 at 101- 268 (NFPA- PR0020039); Ex. V (NFPA 704, 2007 ed.) at ch. 4.1.5 at 704-5 (NFPA- PA-	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 57. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over NFPA's opinion.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
PR0020217); Dubay Decl. Ex. A (NFPA 70, 2011 ed.) at ch. 610.43(B) at 70-531 (NFPA-PR0018069).	
59. Each of NFPA's 23 standards at issue, provide that the specific provisions of the NFPA standard are not the <i>only</i> way to comply with the law, using language that expressly allows for other materials and methods for compliance that would be acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction. <i>See, e.g.</i> , Supp. Pauley Decl. Ex. I (NFPA 54, 2006 ed.) at ch. 1.4 at 54-8 (NFPA-PR0014798) ("The provisions of this code are not intended to prevent the use of any material, method of construction, or installation procedure not specifically prescribed by this code, provided any such alternative is acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction.").	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 57. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over NFPA's opinion.
60. Similar optional provisions appear throughout the standards. <i>See</i> Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 26; Ex. A (NFPA 1, 2003 ed.) at ch. 1.4 (NFPA-PR0013044); Ex. B (NFPA 1, 2006 ed.) at ch. 1.4 (NFPA-PR0013527); Ex. C (NFPA 10, 2002 ed.) at ch. 1.2.1 (NFPA-PR0014076); Ex. D (NFPA 11, 2005 ed.) at ch. 1.5 (NFPA- PR0014147); Ex. E (NFPA 12, 2005 ed.) at ch. 1.2.2 (NFPA-PR0014247); Ex. F (NFPA 13, 2002 ed.) at ch. 1.5 (NFPA-PR0014320); Ex. G (NFPA 25, 2002 ed.) at ch. 1.3 (NFPA- PR0020244); Ex. H (NFPA 30, 2003 ed.) at ch. 1.5 (NFPA- PR0014664); Ex. I (NFPA 54, 2006 ed.) at ch. 1.4 (NFPA-PR0014798); Ex. J (NFPA 58, 2001 ed.) at ch. 1.1.3 (NFPA- PR0014963); Ex. K (NFPA 58, 2004 ed.) at ch. 1.5 (NFPA-PR0015087); Ex. L (NFPA 59, 2004 ed.) at ch. 1.4 (NFPA-PR0015220); Ex. M (NFPA 70, 1999 ed.) at art. 90- 4 (NFPA- PR0015285); Ex. N (NFPA 70, 2005 ed.) at art. 90-4 (NFPA-PR0015939); Ex. O (NFPA 70, 2008 ed.) at art. 90-4 (NFPA- PR0016718); Ex. P (NFPA 70, 2014 ed.) at art. 90-4 (NFPA- PR0098088); Ex. Q (NFPA 72, 2002 ed.) at ch.	

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
1.5 (NFPA-PR0018433); Ex. R (NFPA 99, 2005 ed.) at ch. 1.4 (NFPA-PR0018700); Ex. S (NFPA 101, 2000 ed.) at § 1.5 (NFPA-PR0018981); Ex. T (NFPA 101, 2003 ed.) at ch. 1.4 (NFPA- PR0019378); Ex. U (NFPA 101, 2006 ed.) at ch. 1.4 (NFPA-PR0019793); Ex. V (NFPA 704, 2007 ed.) at ch. 1.5 (NFPA-PR0020216); Dubay Decl. Ex. A (NFPA 70, 2011 ed.) at art. 90.4 (NFPA-PR0017560).	
61. All 23 of NFPA's standards include specific portions of text that do not necessarily set forth any binding legal obligation. <i>See</i> Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶¶ 25-29. More specifically, these portions include the following:	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 57. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over NFPA's opinion.
	Additionally, it is unclear from Plaintiffs' statement "portions of text that do not <i>necessarily</i> set forth any binding legal obligations," whether Plaintiffs actually contend that these portions of the standards are or are not binding. If Plaintiffs themselves cannot make up their minds on this point, it is unlikely that a lay citizen could reasonably determine that he or she was not obligated to comply with or understand these provisions.
62. Prefatory Notices: All 23 of the NFPA standards include prefatory notices, disclaimers and copyright information, such as a "Notice and Disclaimer of Liability Concerning the Use of NFPA Documents." Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 28(a), Ex. A (NFPA 1, 2003 ed.) at NFPA-PR0013033-34; Ex. B (NFPA 1, 2006 ed.) at NFPA-PR0013514-15; Ex. C (NFPA 10, 2002 ed.) at NFPA-PR0014071-72; Ex. D (NFPA 11,	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 57. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
2005 ed.) at NFPA-PR0014141-42; Ex. E (NFPA 12, 2005 ed.) at NFPA-PR0014242-43; Ex. F (NFPA 13, 2002 ed.) at NFPA- PR0014308-09; Ex. G (NFPA 25, 2002 ed.) at NFPA-PR0020238-39; Ex. H (NFPA 30, 2003 ed.) at NFPA-PR0014655- 56; Ex. I (NFPA 54, 2006 ed.) at NFPA-PR0014789-90; Ex. J (NFPA 58, 2001 ed.) at NFPA- PR0014957-58; Ex. K (NFPA 58, 2004 ed.) at NFPA-PR0015080-81; Ex. L (NFPA 59, 2004 ed.) at NFPA- PR0015214-15; Ex. M (NFPA 70, 1999 ed.) at NFPA-PR0015266-67; Ex. N (NFPA 70, 2005 ed.) at NFPA-PR0015914-15; Ex. O (NFPA 70, 2008 ed.) at NFPA-PR0016695-96; Ex. P (NFPA 70, 2014 ed.) at NFPA-PR0098062-63; Ex. Q (NFPA 72, 2002 ed.) at NFPA- PR0018419- 20; Ex. R (NFPA 99, 2005 ed.) at NFPA-PR0018686-67; Ex. S (NFPA 101, 2000 ed.) at NFPA- PR0018957-58; Ex. T (NFPA 101, 2003 ed.) at NFPA-PR0019356-57; Ex. U (NFPA 101, 2006 ed.) at NFPA-PR0019770-71; Ex. V (NFPA 704, 2007 ed.) at NFPA- PR0020211-12; Dubay Decl. Ex. A (NFPA 70, 2011 ed.) at NFPA-PR0017536-37.	determination is due deference over NFPA's opinion.
63. History, Development, and Edition Information: All 23 of the NFPA standards include a section describing the history and development of the standard. Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 28(b), Ex. A (NFPA 1, 2003 ed.) at 1-1 (NFPA-PR0013035); Ex. B (NFPA 1, 2006 ed.) at 1-1 (NFPA-PR0013516-17); Ex. C (NFPA 10, 2002 ed.) at 10-1 (NFPA-PR0014073); Ex. D (NFPA 11, 2005 ed.) at 11-1 (NFPA- PR0014143); Ex. E (NFPA 12, 2005 ed.) at 12-1 (NFPA-PR0014244; Ex. F (NFPA 13, 2002 ed.) at 13-1 to 13-2 (NFPA-PR0014310-11); Ex. G (NFPA 25, 2002 ed.) at 25-1 (NFPA- PR0020240); Ex. H (NFPA 30, 2003 ed.) at 30- 1 to 30-2 (NFPA-PR0014657-58); Ex. I (NFPA 54, 2006 ed.) at 54-1 to 54-2 (NFPA- PR0014791-92); Ex. J (NFPA 58, 2001 ed.) at 58-1 (NFPA-PR0014959); Ex. K (NFPA 58,	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 57. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over NFPA's opinion.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
2004 ed.) at 58-1 (NFPA-PR0015082); Ex. L (NFPA 59, 2004 ed.) at 59-1 (NFPA- PR0015216); Ex. M (NFPA 70, 1999 ed.) at 70-1 (NFPA-PR0015268; Ex. N (NFPA 70, 2005 ed.) at 70-1 (NFPA-PR0015916); Ex. O (NFPA 70, 2008 ed.) at 70-1 (NFPA- PR0016697); Ex. P (NFPA 70, 2014 ed.) at NFPA-PR0098064; Ex. Q (NFPA 72, 2002 ed.) at 72-1 (NFPA-PR0018421); Ex. R (NFPA 99, 2005 ed.) at 99-1 (NFPA-PR0018688); Ex. S (NFPA 101, 2000 ed.) at 101-1 (NFPA- PR0018959); Ex. T (NFPA 101, 2003 ed.) at 101-1 (NFPA-PR0019358); Ex. U (NFPA 101, 2006 ed.) at 101-1 (NFPA-PR0019772); Ex. V (NFPA 704, 2007 ed.) at 704-1 (NFPA- PR0020213); Dubay Decl. Ex. A (NFPA 70, 2011 ed.) at NFPA-PR0017538.	
64. Reference and Informational Notes: Of the 23 NFPA standards, 19 also include informational notes throughout the text that provide context, background, cross-references, and other explanatory material, but they do not set forth any binding legal obligations. Many of these expressly state that the notes "are informational only and are not enforceable as requirements." <i>E.g.</i> , Dubay Decl. Ex. A (NFPA 70, 2011 ed.) at art. 90.5(C) at 70-23 (NFPA-PR0017561). For example, NFPA 70 (2011 ed.) provides the following helpful information: "Some cleaning and lubricating compounds can cause severe deterioration of many plastic materials used for insulating and structural applications in equipment." <i>Id.</i> at art. 110.11 (Note 2) at 70-35 (NFPA-PR0017572). Informational notes appear throughout the main text of the standards (<i>i.e.</i> , not including the annexes). <i>See, e.g.</i> , Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 28(c), Ex. A (NFPA 1, 2003 ed.) at Table 60.2.2.1.(b) (Note 1) at 1-203 (NFPA-PR0013237); Ex. B (NFPA 1, 2006 ed.) at Table 60.2.6.5 (Note 1) at 1-238 (NFPA-PR0013753); Ex. C (NFPA 10, 2002 ed.) at Table 5.3.1 (Notes 1-3) at 10-11	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 57. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over NFPA's opinion.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
(NFPA- PR0014083); Ex. D (NFPA 11, 2005 ed.) at Table 5.2.5.3.4 (Note) at 11-14 (NFPA- PR0014156); Ex. E (NFPA 12, 2005 ed.) at Table 5.3.2.2 (Note) at 12-17 (NFPA- PR0014260); Ex. F (NFPA 13, 2002 ed.) at Table 10.8.3.1.2.2 (Note) at 13-95 (NFPA- PR0014404); Ex. G (NFPA 25, 2002 ed.) at Table 11.1 (Note) at 25-31 (NFPA-PR0020270); Ex. I (NFPA 54, 2006 ed.) at Table 6.2(f) (Note) at 54-28 (NFPA-PR0014818); Ex. J (NFPA 58, 2001 ed.) at Table 2.2.2.2 (Notes 1-3) at 58-10 (NFPA-PR0014968); Ex. K (NFPA 58, 2004 ed.) at Table 5.2.4.2 (Notes) at 58-13 (NFPA- PR0015094); Ex. M (NFPA 70, 1999 ed.) at art. 90-2(a)(1) at 70-17 (NFPA-PR0015284); Ex. N (NFPA 70, 2005 ed.) at art. 230.95(C) (FPN Nos. 1-4) at 70-80 (NFPA-PR0015995); Ex. O (NFPA 70, 2008 ed.) at art. 430.26 (FPN) at 70-306 (NFPA-PR0017002); Ex. P (NFPA 70, 2014 ed.) at art. 300.20(B) (Informational Note) at 70-151 (NFPA-PR0018517); Ex. T (NFPA 101, 2003 ed.) at Table 8.3.4.2 (footnote 2) at 101-72 (NFPA- PR0019429); Ex. U (NFPA 101, 2006 ed.) at Table 8.3.4.2 (footnote 2) at 101-77 (NFPA- PR0019429); Ex. V (NFPA 704, 2007 ed.) at Table 8.3.4.2 (footnote†) at 704-7 (NFPA- PR0019848); Ex. V (NFPA 704, 2007 ed.) at Table 5.2 (footnote *) at 704-7 (NFPA- PR0019848); Ex. V (NFPA 704, 2007 ed.) at Table 5.2 (footnote *) at 704-7 (NFPA- PR0019848); Ex. V (NFPA 704, 2007 ed.) at Table 5.2 (footnote *) at 704-7 (NFPA- PR0020219); Dubay Decl. Ex. A (NFPA 70, 2011 ed.) at art. 505.8 (Informational Note) at 70-402 (NFPA-PR0017939).	
65. Diagrams, Figures, and Illustrations: Of the 23 NFPA standards, 14 also include figures that illustrate concepts in the text, but that do not dictate any legal obligations. These include, for example, Figure 220.1 of the 2011 edition of NFPA 70, which provides a graphical overview of the organization of Article 220. <i>See</i> Dubay Decl. Ex. A (NFPA 70, 2011 ed.) at Figure 220.1 at 70-61 (NFPA-PR0017598). Similar figures and illustrations appear throughout the text. <i>See, e.g.</i> , Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 28(d), Ex. A (NFPA 1,	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 57. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over NFPA's opinion.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
2003 ed.) at Figure 31.3.7.3.3(C) (NFPA- PR0013184); Ex. B (NFPA 1, 2006 ed.) at Figure 43.1.4.5.3 at 1-200 (NFPA-PR0013715); Ex. D (NFPA 11, 2005 ed.) at Figure 5.3.5.3.1 at 11-18 (NFPA-PR0014160); Ex. F (NFPA 13, 2002 ed.) at Figures 7.7.4.2.1(a), (b) at 13-36 (NFPA- PR0014345); Ex. I (NFPA 54, 2006 ed.) at Figure 12.6.2.1 at 54-85 (NFPA-PR0014875); Ex. J (NFPA 58, 2001 ed.) at Figure 8.2.10 at 58-63 (NFPA-PR0015021); Ex. M (NFPA 70, 1999 ed.) at Figure 250-2 at 70-81 (NFPA- PR0015347); Ex. N (NFPA 70, 2005 ed.) at Figure 250-126 at 70-113 (NFPA-PR0016028); Ex. O (NFPA 70, 2008 ed.) at FPN Figures 517.30 Nos. 1 & 2 at 70-431 (NFPA- PR0017127); Ex. P (NFPA 70, 2014 ed.) at Figure 516.4(C)(1) at 70-459 (NFPA- PR0098522); Ex. S (NFPA 101, 2000 ed.) at Figure 7.10.6.2 at 101-67 (NFPA-PR0019025); Ex. T (NFPA 101, 2003 ed.) at Figure 7.10.6.2.1 at 101-69 (NFPA-PR0019426); Ex. U (NFPA 101, 2006 ed.) at Figure 7.10.6.2.1 at 101-73 (NFPA-PR0019844); Dubay Decl. Ex. A (NFPA 70, 2011 ed.) at Informational Note Figure 505.9(C)(2) at 70-404 (NFPA-PR0017941).	
66. Examples: Of the 23 NFPA standards, 18 include lists of examples or illustrative examples that do not dictate any legal obligations, <i>e.g.</i> , a list of examples of mobile homes not intended as a dwelling unit. <i>See</i> Dubay Decl. Ex. A (NFPA 70, 2011 ed.) at art. 550.4(A) at 70- 482 (NFPA-PR0018019). These examples appear throughout the text. <i>See, e.g.</i> , Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 28(e), Ex. A (NFPA 1, 2003 ed.) at ch. 3.3.61.6 at 1-28 (NFPA-PR0013062); Ex. B (NFPA 1, 2006 ed.) at ch. 3.3.163 at 1-37 (NFPA-PR0013552); Ex. D (NFPA 11, 2005 ed.) at ch. 3.3.4 at 11-7 (NFPA-PR0014149); Ex. F (NFPA 13, 2002 ed.) at ch. 8.16.2.4.7.1 at 13-79 (NFPA-PR0014388); Ex. G (NFPA 25, 2002 ed.) at ch. 5.3.3.1 at 25-13 (NFPA-PR0020252; Ex. J (NFPA 58, 2001 ed.) at ch. 2.2.6.3(1) at 58-12	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 57. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over NFPA's opinion.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
(NFPA-PR0014970); Ex. K (NFPA 58, 2004 ed.) at ch. 5.2.8.2(C)(1) at 58-15 (NFPA- PR0015096); Ex. M (NFPA 70, 1999 ed.) at art. 551-73(c) at 70- 419 (NFPA-PR0015685); Ex. N (NFPA 70, 2005 ed.) at art. 352.26 at 70-191 (NFPA- PR0016106); Ex. O (NFPA 70, 2008 ed.) at art. 518.2(A) at 70-443 (NFPA- PR0017139); Ex. P (NFPA 70, 2014 ed.) at art. 551.73(C) at 70-525 (NFPA-PR0098588); Ex. Q (NFPA 72, 2002 ed.) at ch. 3.3.43.19 at 72-17 (NFPA-PR0018437); Ex. R (NFPA 99, 2005 ed.) at ch. 4.3.3.1.2 at 99- 25 (NFPA- PR0018712); Ex. S (NFPA 101, 2000 ed.) at Table 22.3.8 (footnote ‡) at 101-183 (NFPA- PR0019141); Ex. T (NFPA 101, 2003 ed.) at Table 22.3.8 (footnote ‡) at 101-194 (NFPA- PR0019551); Ex. U (NFPA 101, 2006 ed.) at Table 22.4.4.11 (footnote †) at 101-207 (NFPA- PR0019978); Ex. V (NFPA 704, 2007 ed.) at Table 6.2 at 704-8 to 704-9 (NFPA-PR0020220- 21); Dubay Decl. Ex. A (NFPA 70, 2011 ed.) at art. 552.47 at 70-508 (NFPA-PR0018045).	
67. Informational Annexes: All 23 of the NFPA standards include informational annexes that come in a variety of forms. Many are purely explanatory, <i>e.g.</i> , Annex A "Explanatory Material," which states "Annex A is not a part of the requirements of this NFPA document but is included for informational purposes only. This annex contains explanatory material numbered to correspond with the applicable text paragraphs." Supp. Pauley Decl. Ex. F at Annex A (NFPA 13 (2002 ed.)). Some of these are only binding if <i>specifically</i> incorporated by reference, <i>e.g.</i> , Annex H "Administration and Enforcement," which states that it is "not a part of the requirements of this NFPA document and is included for informational purposes only unless specifically adopted by the local jurisdiction adopting the National Electric Code®." <i>Id.</i> Ex. P at Annex H (NFPA 70 (2014 ed.)). These examples are not unique, all of the	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 57. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over NFPA's opinion. Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs assert that certain portions are not binding unless specifically incorporated by reference, when in fact the regulatory agency has specifically said that the entire document (which includes annexes) is incorporated by reference.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
at Annexes A-G at 704-12 to 704-20 (NFPA- PR0020224-32); Dubay Decl. Ex. A (NFPA 70, 2011 ed.) at Informational Annexes A-I at 70- 727 to 70-829 (NFPA-PR0018264-366).	
68. Proposal Forms: NFPA's standards also generally include information regarding the proposal and committee process for NFPA standards, as well as proposal forms so the reader can submit suggestions for future editions. This information and forms do not set forth any binding legal obligations. Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 28(g). <i>See, e.g., id.</i> Ex. A (NFPA 1, 2003 ed.) at NFPA- PR0013508-10; Ex. B (NFPA 1, 2006 ed.) at NFPA-PR0014067-69; Ex. D (NFPA 11, 2005 ed.) at NFPA-PR0014238-40; Ex. E (NFPA 12, 2005 ed.) at NFPA-PR0014304-06; Ex. H (NFPA 30, 2003 ed.) at NFPA-PR0014785-87; Ex. I (NFPA 54, 2006 ed.) at NFPA-PR0014785-87; Ex. I (NFPA 54, 2006 ed.) at NFPA-PR0014785-87; Ex. K (NFPA 58, 2004 ed.) at NFPA-PR0015210-12; Ex. L (NFPA 59, 2004 ed.) at NFPA-PR0015262- 64; Ex. N (NFPA 70, 2005 ed.) at NFPA-PR0016691-93; Ex. O (NFPA 70, 2014 ed.) at NFPA-PR0017523-34 (and membership information); Ex. P (NFPA 70, 2014 ed.) at NFPA-PR0018953; Ex. T (NFPA 101, 2003 ed.) at NFPA-PR0019766-68; Ex. U (NFPA 101, 2006 ed.) at NFPA-PR0020207-09; Ex. V (NFPA 704, 2007 ed.) at NFPA-PR0020234-36; Dubay Decl. Ex. A (NFPA 70, 2011 ed.) at NFPA-PR0018414-17. PRO has posted these forms as well. <i>See</i> Wise Decl. ¶ 173, Ex. 172.	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 57. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over NFPA's opinion.
69. Many standards incorporate other standards by reference, which may in turn reference other standards. Supp. Pauley Decl. Ex. B (NFPA 1, 2006 ed.) at ch. 5.3.4 at 1-49 (NFPA- PR0013562) (providing that certain structures must comply with provisions in NFPA 101); Ex. U (NFPA 101, 2006 ed.) at ch. 9.1.2 at 101- 84 (NFPA-PRO0019855) (dictating that	Not material. The incorporation of one standard by another standard is not before this Court.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
"[e]lectrical wiring and equipment shall [generally] be in accordance with NFPA 70, <i>National Electrical Code</i> ").	
ASHRAE Standards (and Portions Thereof) That Do Not Impose Legal Duties	Disputed for the reasons addressed below in paragraph 70.
70. All 3 of ASHRAE's standards at issue, which are three different versions of ASHRAE Standard 90.1, include large portions of the text that are not necessary for compliance with the standard and therefore do not set forth any binding legal obligation in instances where the standard is incorporated by reference. These portions include the following:	Disputed. Each standard that Public Resource posts is incorporated into law in its entirety. The Office of the Federal Register instructs that material should only be incorporated by reference into law "[i]f the material is necessary to understand or comply with the regulation." IBR Handbook at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)). The decision as to what material to incorporate by reference, including the decision as to whether to incorporate an entire document or just part of a document, is a decision made by the regulatory agency. That regulatory agency's decision must be given deference over any possible quibble Plaintiffs might have as to whether a portion of an incorporated document is absolutely necessary to understand or comply with the regulation. After the regulatory agency determines what material to propose for incorporation into law, the Director of the Federal Register then reviews the proposed incorporation, and either approves or denies the incorporation. Public Resource therefore only posted material that federal agencies had determined was "necessary to understand or comply with [federal regulations]." (IBR Handbook) at p. 2 (citing 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)).
71. Foreword: All 3 of the ASHRAE standards include a foreword, which does not set forth any binding legal obligations. In fact, the forewords open by stating "[t]his foreword is not part of this standard. It is merely informative and does not contain requirements necessary for conformance to the standard." The forewords go on to provide, <i>inter alia</i> , historical information	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 70. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
about the development of the Standard over time. Declaration of Steve Comstock, previously filed at Dkt. 155-5, ("Comstock Decl.") ¶ 7, Ex. 1 (ASHRAE 90.1-2004) at 4; Supplemental Declaration of Stephanie Reiniche ("Supp. Reiniche Decl.") ¶ 2, Ex. 1 (ASHRAE 90.1- 2007) at 6, and Ex. 2 (ASHRAE 90.1-2010) at 6.	the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over ASHRAE's opinion.
72. "Informative Appendix E": All 3 of the ASHRAE standards include what the standards refer to as "Informative Appendix E." The appendix opens with a disclaimer stating: "This appendix is not part of this standard. It is merely informative and does not contain requirements necessary for conformance to the standard." The appendix contains a variety of general information, including contact information for certain trade associations that operate in industries related to the standard. Comstock Decl. ¶ 7, Ex. 1 (ASHRAE 90.1-2004 at 165-66); Supp. Reiniche Decl. ¶ 2, Ex. 1 (ASHRAE 90.1-2007) at 170-71, and Ex. 2 (ASHRAE 90.1-2010) at 201-02.	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 70. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over ASHRAE's opinion.
73. "Informative Appendix F": All 3 of the ASHRAE standards include what the standards refer to as "Informative Appendix F." The appendix opens with a disclaimer stating: "This appendix is not part of this standard. It is merely informative and does not contain requirements necessary for conformance to the standard." The appendix contains a log tracking changes to the standard over time. Comstock Decl. ¶ 7, Ex. 1 (ASHRAE 90.1-2004) at 167-69; Supp. Reiniche Decl. ¶ 2, Ex. 1 (ASHRAE 90.1-2010) at 203-10.	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 70. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over ASHRAE's opinion.
74. "Informative Appendix G": Two of the ASHRAE standards include what the standards refer to as "Informative Appendix G." The appendix opens with a disclaimer stating: "This appendix is not part of this standard. It is merely informative and does not contain requirements	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 70. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
necessary for conformance to the standard." The appendix contains general information on multiple different ways to track energy efficiency with regard to different aspects of building construction. Comstock Decl. ¶ 7, Ex. 1 (ASHRAE 90.1-2004) at 168-80; Supp. Reiniche Decl. ¶ 2, Ex. 1 (ASHRAE 90.1-2007) at 175-185.	approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over ASHRAE's opinion.
75. Appendix B and D—Non-U.S. Data in Accompanying Tables: All 3 of the ASHRAE standards include an Appendix B and an Appendix D. The appendices include tables on general climate data for the United States, Canada, and various foreign countries. Comstock Decl. ¶ 7, Ex. 1 (ASHRAE 90.1-2004) at 110-21, 131-64; Supp. Reiniche Decl. ¶ 2, Ex. 1 (ASHRAE 90.1-2007) at 114-16 and 148-69, and Ex. 2 (ASHRAE 90.1-2010) at 144-46 and 179-While data about the United States climate may be helpful to a reader for purposes of understanding and applying the standards, the data on foreign climates would not be necessary for compliance with the standard within the United States.	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 70. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over ASHRAE's opinion.
76. Informational Notes and Policy Statements: All 3 ASHRAE standards also include informational notes that have nothing to do with requirements to comply with the standards. These include "Instructions For Submitting a Proposed Change to the Standard," a form for submitting proposed changes, instructions for electronic submission of comments, a brief description of the ASHRAE organization, and a "Policy Statement" laying out ASHRAE's general position and goals concerning the environmental impact of its activities. Comstock Decl. ¶ 7, Ex. 1 (ASHRAE 90.1-2004) at 181-89; Supp. Reiniche Decl. ¶ 2, Ex. 1 (ASHRAE 90.1-2007) at 189- 92, and Ex. 2 (ASHRAE 90.1-2010) at 225-28.	Disputed for the reasons addressed above at paragraph 70. The respective federal agency determined that the entire standard was "necessary to understand or comply with [the applicable federal regulation]," and the Director of the Federal Register reviewed and approved the incorporation. Plaintiffs may in their opinion disagree with the assessment of the regulatory agency, but the agency's determination is due deference over ASHRAE's opinion.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
 IV. PRO'S CONDUCT HARMS PLAINTIFFS' ACTUAL AND POTENTIAL MARKETS; AND AN INJUNCTION IS NECESSARY A. Plaintiffs Distribute Their Standards, Provide Free Read- Only Access, And Other Informational Resources Plaintiffs' Sales, Licensing, and Derivative Works 	Disputed for the reasons addressed below.
77. Plaintiffs sell their standards, including the Works, in a variety of formats and for a reasonable cost to industry professionals and tradespeople (and the companies and organizations for which they work) who then use these standards in the course of their business. Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶¶ 34, 43; Reiniche Decl., ¶¶ 17-18; James Thomas Decl. ¶¶ 45-48; <i>see also</i> Pauley Decl. ¶ 13; Declaration of James Golinveaux, filed at Dkt. 118-5 ("Golinveaux Decl.") ¶ 10.	Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs imply they have copyright ownership of the standards at issue that have been made law, and disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs imply that there is any reasonable price for access to the law.
78. Plaintiffs' sell their standards individually for prices that range from \$25 to \$200, or as a part of a membership or subscription. Rubel Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 1 (Jarosz Rep. ¶¶ 84 (ASTM), 92 (NFPA), 99 (ASHRAE).	
 79. Plaintiffs depend on the sale of standards to fuel their overall mission-driving work. The vast majority of that revenue is from the sale of codes and standards, including those that have been incorporated by reference and posted by PRO. Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 33; Supp. Reiniche Decl. ¶ 4; James Thomas Decl. ¶¶ 37-38; Rubel Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 1 (Jarosz Rep. ¶¶ 107-08). 	Disputed and immaterial. The vast majority of standards that Plaintiffs publish are not incorporated into law and are not at issue. Plaintiffs do not identify how much of their revenue comes from outdated or withdrawn standards, such as every standard at issue in this litigation. The majority of Plaintiffs' revenue from standards comes from the first year or two that a standard is on the market, meaning standards that are incorporated into the law do not produce much revenue for Plaintiffs because they are typically outdated. ASHRAE gets very little of its revenue from the sale of standards compared to other sources

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	of revenue.
80. ASTM sells its standards in books and as individual standards. Wise Decl. ¶¶ 158-161, Exs. 157-160. ASTM derives revenue from not only the initial publication of a given standard but also from revised, reapproved, and reissued versions of standards. <i>Id</i> .	Disputed and Immaterial to the extent that ASTM implies it obtains much revenue from reapproved or reissued versions of standards, compared to the first two years after the initial publication of standards and revised standards. Disputed also to the extent that Plaintiffs' general statement concerns the majority of standards that are not incorporated by reference into law and therefore not at issue in this litigation.
81. For example, B580 issued in 1979 has been reapproved and reissued in its original form every five years since 1979. Wise Decl. ¶ 160, Ex. 159. This standard originally appeared in ASTM's Annual Book of ASTM Standards in 1980. Wise Decl. ¶ 9, Ex. 8. The latest version of this standard still appears in ASTM's 2019 Annual Book of ASTM Standards. Wise Decl. ¶ 156, Ex. 155; Wise Decl. ¶ 161, Ex. 160, at ASTM103529, Section 02 Volume 05; Wise Decl. ¶ 159, Ex. 158 at ASTM103291, Section 02 Volume 05.	
82. NFPA also earns significant revenue from licensing its standards to other companies and organizations to use in their products and services, for example, derivative works like checklists based on the standards. Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 36.	Disputed and Immaterial to the extent that Plaintiffs' general statement concerns the majority of standards that are not incorporated by reference into law and therefore not at issue in this litigation.
83. Plaintiffs' revenue from complementary and downstream products and services like e- learning and in person training courses and other derivative works is also dependent on their copyrights in their standards because they market these products as including copies of the published material. James S. Thomas Decl. ¶¶ 4, 5-9; Rubel Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 1 (Jarosz Rep. ¶¶ 109- 11, 145).	Disputed and Immaterial to the extent that Plaintiffs' general statement concerns the majority of standards that are not incorporated by reference into law and therefore not at issue in this litigation. Also Disputed to the extent that Public Resource does not challenge Plaintiffs' ability to include copies of standards along other products and services, and Plaintiffs have no evidence that they would not

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	be able to sell products and services like these if Public Resource's activities are deemed a fair use.
84. As the copyright owners, Plaintiffs' ability to include its standards as reference material for these training courses gives them a competitive advantage over competitors, such as third-party training programs. James S. Thomas Decl. ¶ 10. This advantage is "a significant driver" of these sources of revenue "is the provision of the protected publications in, for example, trainings and seminars." Rubel Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 1 (Jarosz Rep. ¶ 146)).	Disputed and Immaterial. Plaintiffs have a competitive advantage over other companies and organizations because they are the official publishers of the standards at issue, and industry members look to them as authorities.
85. Plaintiffs also provide read-only access to the Works—excluding certain of the Works that are simply not incorporated by reference as claimed by PRO—on their websites, and sometimes linked through other websites, such as local and state government websites. This is provided at no cost to the user. Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶¶ 40-41, 44; Reiniche Decl., ¶ 19; O'Brien Decl. ¶ 60; Wise Decl. ¶ 157, Ex. 156.	Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs do not offer read-only access to all standards that Plaintiffs publish that are incorporated into law. Also disputed that the read-only access is provided at no cost to the user. Although a user does not have an immediate monetary cost, the user must register, provide personal details and contact information that is then used for marketing solicitations, and agree to adhesive contract terms that include forum selection and waiver of rights, simply so the user can read the law (but not copy and speak the law, which is still prohibited).
86. Plaintiffs' provision of free read-only access to and other resources for researching their standards serves Plaintiffs' overall missions by providing a resource for individuals to educate themselves as to the contents of standards, including standards that have been incorporated by reference. Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 43, 45; Supp. Reiniche Decl. ¶ 3; Declaration of James S. Thomas, dated October 4, 2019, filed concurrently herewith, ("James S. Thomas Decl.") ¶ 12.	

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
87. Plaintiffs' provision of free read-only access and research tools also benefits the public, because they can be sure of the authenticity and accuracy of the copy of the standard they are reading. Supp. Reiniche Decl. ¶ 3; O'Brien Decl. ¶¶ 52-53; Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶39.	Disputed to the extent not to provide the public with a readily usable, easy to navigate means of reading the law. SSSMF ¶ 39.
88. Although industry professionals and tradespeople who purchase Plaintiffs' standards to use in the course of their work might reference Plaintiffs' free access websites, the carefully controlled read-only environment do not provide a substitute for purchasing a copy of the Works. James S. Thomas Decl. ¶ 14; Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 45. Rather, Plaintiffs' online copies serve those "parties that are interested in or affected by [Plaintiffs' standards], but who do not necessarily need a digital or hardcopy of the standards." Rubel Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 1 (Jarosz Rep. ¶ 86).	Disputed. Plaintiffs' read-only environments do not fully serve the needs of people who are interested in and affected by the standards, including those who are subject to the standards as laws and who are interested in better understanding and engaging in the laws by which their government and other entities operate. Plaintiffs' reading rooms are user- unfriendly and subject users to a user interface that is unwieldy, particularly for lengthy documents such as 800-plus page standards.
89. Plaintiffs are not harmed by the provision of these free access websites because the users who visit them engage with their other products and services in furtherance of Plaintiffs' overall missions and because the read-only format prevents unauthorized distribution. Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 45; Supp. Reiniche Decl. ¶ 4; James S. Thomas Decl. ¶ 15.	Disputed. Only NFPA has provided an analysis of the effect of the reading room on its sales, and that analysis is outdated. If Plaintiffs' sales are not cannibalized by their reading rooms, it is because the reading rooms are user-unfriendly and hardly usable due to the poor user-interface design, and restrictions on users' ability to interact with the law that is posted there. Additionally, Plaintiffs' statement that users "engage with [Plaintiffs'] other product and services" corresponds to the fact that Plaintiffs use the personal information of users obtained through their registration for the reading room, in order to send marketing communications to them without their consent. SSSMF ¶ 39.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
90. NFPA has also developed additional resources for researching that consolidate information regarding the jurisdictions that have incorporated NFPA's standards by reference into local, state, or federal laws or regulations. These resources include the NEC Adoptions Map and CodeFinder TM , which serves the "purpose of creating general public awareness of some of the jurisdictions where [AHJs] may require the use of NFPA codes and/or standards." Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶¶ 46-47.	Disputed. The evidence does not establish the fact, and a review of the sites to which NFPA refers provide only a marketing benefit to NFPA without any substantial public benefit.
B. PRO's Posting Of Its Versions Of Plaintiffs' Works Online Is Substitutional And Harmful PRO's Conduct Threatens Plaintiffs' Actual and Derivative Markets	Disputed. Plaintiff have no evidence of harm, and their claim that Public Resource's activities "threaten" the market for their works and derivative works lacks credibility considering that Plaintiffs have not adduced evidence of harm eleven years after Public Resource first posted one of the standards at issue. SSSMF ¶ 133-165.
91. The expert report of John Jarosz concluded that PRO's activities would threaten the market both for Plaintiffs' standards and for derivative works, including future standards, trainings and seminars. Rubel Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 1 (Jarosz Rep. ¶¶ 85, 92, 100, 130-49).	Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs' expert John Jarosz predicted that Plaintiffs would be harmed, yet over four years later Plaintiffs still have no evidence of harm. Also disputed to the extent that Mr. Jarosz' claims were not based on credible evidence, but were instead merely parroted the self-serving claims of Plaintiffs' executives in a manner that attempted to dress up Plaintiffs' executives' testimony in the cloth of an expert report. SSSMF ¶ 142-149.
92. Anyone visiting PRO's postings of the Works on the Internet Archive website can download, copy, print, and redistribute the entirety of Plaintiffs' Works, which are available without restriction in multiple formats, such as Full Text and PDF. Declaration of Christopher Butler, of Internet Archive ("Butler Decl.") ¶ 9; Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 31; Wise Decl. ¶ 168, Ex. 167.	Disputed to the extent that Public Resource has posted only standards that have become laws by incorporation, not any of Plaintiffs' standards that are not law.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts

93. The individuals and entities who use PRO's unrestricted versions of the Plaintiffs' standards include the same sorts of industry professionals and tradespeople, such as engineers, as would otherwise typically obtain copies of Plaintiffs' standards directly from Plaintiffs. Wise Decl. ¶ 174, Ex. 173 at PRO_00267293 (engineer asking after remand from the D.C. Circuit, "Does Friday's decision mean you can update the site?"), PRO_00267241 (engineering firm saying it heard about PRO from a "colleague" and asking "How might we access the documents you offer?"); Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 22.

Defendant Public Resource's Response

Disputed. The citations do not establish the fact claimed. Plaintiffs have no evidence that a single person who used the documents posted by Public Resource is "the same sorts of industry professionals and tradespeople, such as engineers, as would otherwise typically obtain copies of Plaintiffs' standards directly from Plaintiffs." Nor do Plaintiffs have any evidence that a single person who accessed a document that Public Resource posted would have purchased the document from Plaintiffs, but for Public Resource's posting. Exhibit 173 consists of two emails. One is an email where an individual from Wichita State University asked Mr. Malamud about the legal effect of the Court of Appeals' decision-it is not a statement that the person accessed a standard at issue through Public Resource's postings, or that the person would have purchased a document from Plaintiffs but for Public Resource's activities. The other email is the owner of a small business who states that he is obligated to follow California as well as other state law, but "[t]he challenge we have is ensuring access to relevant, current codes (whole codes – not just errata) for the states and municipalities in which we provide our engineering services." He then asks Mr. Malamud to first tell him how Public Resource does not violate copyright law, and then to tell him how to access the laws that Public Resource posts. Public Resource declined to provide this individual with legal advice, and as with the other email, there is no statement that the person accessed a standard at issue through Public Resource's postings, or that the person would have purchased a document from Plaintiffs but for Public Resource's activities. Plaintiffs' final citation to Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 22 appears to be in error and does not provide any evidence supporting Plaintiffs' assertions.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
94. PRO's versions of Plaintiffs' standards compete directly with Plaintiffs' standards in the market because if the professionals and tradespeople are able to access and download nearly identical standards without cost through PRO's postings to the Internet Archive, they will not buy Plaintiffs' publications or use their free access websites. PRO's postings to the Internet Archive therefore substitute for both sales of Plaintiffs' Works and use of Plaintiffs' free access websites. Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 35; Supp. Reiniche Decl. ¶ 5; James S. Thomas Decl. ¶ 16; Rubel Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 1 (Jarosz Rep. ¶¶ 109-111, 149).	Disputed. The citations do not establish the fact claimed. Plaintiffs' publications and website have the authority that comes with being the official publisher of the standards incorporated into law, and therefore individuals and businesses that want the official versions will turn to Plaintiffs, not Public Resource or any other source. Moreover, Public Resource does not compete directly with Plaintiffs because Public Resource provides access only to law, not to standards that are not the law, and the standards at issue are outdated as industry standards but still relevant as law. Additionally, Plaintiffs cannot claim harm to viewership of standards on their reading rooms, when Plaintiffs' purpose in setting up the reading rooms was principally to use them as argumentative tools for influencing policymakers to refrain from mandating disclosure of the standards incorporated into law. Public Resource's use does not affect that purpose.
95. PRO's provision of unrestricted, downloadable PDF and HTML copies of Plaintiffs' works competes directly with not only sale of the Works but also ancillary products such as training courses that include copies of the Works. James S. Thomas Decl. ¶ 16; Rubel Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 1 (Jarosz Rep. ¶¶ 131-41, 145-49).	Disputed for the reasons addressed in paragraph 94. Also disputed because Plaintiffs, as the official publishers of the standards, have authority within the relevant industries that drives sales of ancillary products and services. Additionally, Plaintiffs can continue to provide copies of the standards at issue. Also disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs imply, without evidence, that they continue to sell products and services for outdated standards, such as the standards at issue, rather than for the most up-to-date standards.
96. The harm resulting from PRO's posting and dissemination of unrestricted copies of ASTM's standards for free extends beyond PRO's impact on the sale of the same version of the ASTM work PRO copied. Prior versions of ASTM's	Disputed. The citations do not establish the fact claimed. It is not reasonable for Plaintiffs to assert, without evidence, that trained industry members who purchase standards that Plaintiffs publish would settle for outdated

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
standards can serve as a substitute for new ones because significant portions—and in some instances the entire standard—may remain unchanged from edition to edition. As a result, for many users, prior versions of ASTM's works may be a perfect or near perfect substitute that interferes with the market for the current version of ASTM's standards. James S. Thomas Decl. ¶ 17.	standards on the hope that some of the provisions therein might remain unchanged in the latest versions. Plaintiffs' sales documents and Plaintiffs' admissions indicate that sales of standards are highest in the one or two years immediately after the new version is released, and that industry members therefore do not wait years until the standard is finally incorporated into law.
97. A simple comparison between views of ASTM Standards in the ASTM reading room and data regarding downloads and access to the ASTM Standards on the PRO website and the Internet Archive website shows the damaging impact on the marketplace for ASTM's works. From 2013-2018, ASTM averaged 3,600 standards viewed per year in its Reading Room across all ASTM standards. Wise Decl. ¶ 158, Ex. 157 at ASTM103291.	Disputed. The citations do not establish the fact claimed. ASTM's low viewership for its reading room does not show that Public Resource is having a damaging impact; it instead proves that ASTM has succeeded in making its reading room "user-unfriendly" and as a result citizens cannot rely on it. This is evidenced by the fact that even during late 2015 through mid-2018 when Public Resource was subject to the injunction, the viewership rates were still paltry. Instead, ASTM's viewership figures show that Public Resource has not had an effect on usage of the ASTM reading room, and it disproves any possible argument that people would have used ASTM's reading room, but for the availability of standards through Public Resource. Additionally, Plaintiffs cannot claim harm to viewership of standards on their reading rooms, when Plaintiffs' purpose in setting up the reading rooms was principally to use them as argumentative tools for influencing policymakers to refrain from mandating disclosure of the standards incorporated into law. Public Resource's use does not affect that purpose.
ASTM Reading Room Results	
2013 2014 Standards Viewed: 2761 2769	2015 2016 2017 2018 3631 3731 4806 4241
98. PRO's reproduction and display of ASTM's Works dwarfs ASTM's Reading Room	Disputed to the extent that the asserted "download" and "access" figures do not

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
impressions. PRO's download data and access data was only available up to 2014. Wise Decl. ¶ 163, Ex. 162 at PRO_00245530; Wise Decl. ¶ 164, Ex. 163 at PRO_00232651; Wise Decl.¶ 152, Ex. 151.	distinguish actual human downloads or accesses, as opposed to automated processes such as web crawlers and bots. Because Public Resource does not require citizens to provide their personal information, set up an account, agree to adhesive terms, or otherwise wall off the laws that it posts, any automated process that scans the web, such as search engines, the Internet Archive, and other indexing tools, will all cause these figures to increment every time they check back on a document that Public Resource has posted. Further disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs use the term "impact" where they should instead state "total."
PRO Website	lownloads from Internet Archive

Standard	Edition	PRO Website Views	Downloads from PRO Website	Internet Archive Views	Impact
Total of S	tandards	48,985	15,451	108,992	173,428
A36	1977a°	334	187	1,304	1,825
A36/A36M	1997a ^{•1}	321	120	615	1,056
A82	1979	308	83	884	1,275
A106/A106 M	2004ъ	326	0	0	326
A184	1979	264	25	163	452
A185	1979	290	179	2,616	3,085
A203/A203 M	1997	253	40	149	442
A242	1979	293	65	367	725
A285	1978	295	47	175	517
A307	1978°	349	87	417	853
A325	1979	333	97	479	909
A333/A 333M	1994	492	0	0	492
A369/A 369M	1992	245	0	0	245
A370	1977°2	392	869	10,391	11,652
A441	1979	272	49	368	689
A449	1978a	290	50	257	597
A475	1978(1984) ^{•1}	279	91	508	878
A490	1979	290	87	357	734
A496	1978	285	49	390	724
A497	1979	284	130	973	1,387

~ 1 1	T 11/2	PRO Website	Downloads from	Internet Archive	. .
Standard A500	Edition	Views 293	PRO Website 82	Views 325	Impact 700
	1978				
A501	1976	267	64	295	626
A502	1976	281	133	781	1,195
A514	1977	272	62	315	649
A522/A 522M	1995b	277	0	0	277
A539	1990a	237	0	0	237
A570	1979	293	75	364	732
A572	1979	295	50	222	567
A588	1979a	292	36	202	530
A611	1972(1979)	266	84	638	988
A615	1979	369	102	497	968
A616	1979	243	32	288	563
A617	1979	246	51	293	590
A633	1979a	288	59	396	743
B16	1992	229	66	0	295
B21	1983b	248	71	460	779
B21	1996	259	0	0	259
B42	1996	277	0	0	277
B68	1995	288	0	0	288
B75	1997	311	0	0	311
B85	1984	299	120	1,164	1,583
B88	1996	270	0	0	270
B96	1993	238	0	0	238
B111	1995	281	0	0	281
B122/B 122M	1995	238	0	0	238
B124	1996	280	0	0	280
B209	1996	321	197	1,638	2,156
B224	1980°1	259	57	274	590
B283	1996	254	0	0	254
B315	1993	209	0	0	209
B557	1984	343	85	961	1,389
B580	1979	257	138	1,131	1,526
B694	1986	219	54	247	520
C5	1979(1997)	231	45	237	513
C150	1999a	206	101	561	868
C177	1997	330	43	340	713
C236	1989(1993) ^{e1}	252	74	354	680
C330	1999	330	157	1,212	1,699
C509	1984	240	53	721	1,014
C518	1991	282	74	318	674
C516	1980(1996) ^{e1}	219	68	278	565
C549	1981(1995)° ¹	227	83	507	817
C564	1970(1982)	262	42	663	967
D86	2007	466	75	393	934
D129	1995	241	28	118	387
D396	1998	277	47	419	743
D590 D512	1998 1998	299	87	580	966

~	-	PRO Website	Downloads from	Internet Archive	. .
Standard	Edition	Views	PRO Website	Views	Impact
D611	1982(1998)	297	35	355	687
D665	1998°1	253	76	359	688
D814	1995	211	41	147	399
D975	2007	426	159	861	1,446
D975	1998b	232	89	923	1,244
D1217	1993(1998)	245	31	252	528
D1246	1995(1999)	250	27	149	426
D1253	1986(1996)	258	64	396	718
D1266	1998	281	34	381	696
D1298	1999	347	168	786	1,301
D1335	1967(1972)	233	159	1,084	1,476
D1412	1993(1997)	253	83	495	831
D1480	1993(1997)	220	30	281	531
D1481	1993(1997)	252	35	286	573
D1518	1985(1998) ^{e1}	255	143	1,009	1,407
D1535	1989	218	100	454	772
D1552	1995	268	41	637	946
D1688	1995	233	41	299	573
D1785	1986	315	199	1,294	1,808
D1835	1997	295	74	814	1,183
D1890	1996	206	26	164	396
D1943	1996	213	17	184	414
D1945	1996	218	104	1,653	1,975
D1946	1990(1994)° ¹	261	34	786	1,081
D2013	1986(1994)	333	134	1,071	1,538
D2015	1980(1994)	351	134	2,409	2.891
D2015 D2036	1990	245	32	404	681
D2163	1991(1996)	313	58	841	1,212
D2105 D2216	1991(1996)	315	189	1,952	2,446
D2234		253			1,151
	1998	194	63 35	835	1
D2460	1997		24	134 350	363
D2502	1992(1996)	227			601
D2503	1992(1997)	226	52	294	572
D2597	1994(1999)	232	36	160	428
D2622	1998	265	45	278	588
D2724	1987(1995)	256		1,183	1,594
D2777	1998	217	26	150	393
D2879	1997	243	31	391	665
D2986	1995a(1999)	230	43	399	672
D3120	1996	226		205	453
D3173	1987(1996)	285	79	924	1,288
D3178	1989(1997)	231	33	313	577
D3236	1988(1999)	259	101	729	1,089
D3246	1996	233	60	556	849
D3286	1996	227	138	582	947
D3371	1995	183	20	142	345
D3454	1997	198	45	147	390

' Stateme	ent of Mater	rial Facts	Defenda	ant Public Res	source's
Standard	Edition	PRO Website Views	Downloads from PRO Website	Internet Archive Views	Impact
D3588	1998	330	143	964	1,437
D3697	1992(1996)	230	32	138	400
D3037	1995	230	104	603	994
D4239	1995°1	279	88	834	1,201
D4268	1993	256	96	572	924
D4294	1998	289	116	654	1,059
D4329	1999	280	0	0	280
D4809	1995	258	74	707	1,039
D4891	1989(1994) ^{•1}	326	19	102	447
D4986	1998	245	0	0	245
D5257	1997	213	28	228	470
D5373	1993(1997)	274	208	1,064	1,546
D5489	1996a	265	148	537	950
D5673	1996	253	25	153	431
D5865	1998a	290	303	2,161	2,754
D6216	1998	202	42	410	654
D6228	1998	261	56	560	877
D6420	1999	199	44	188	431
D6503	1999	222	61	428	711
E11	1995	268	82	273	623
E23	1982	237	411	3,059	3,707
E72	1980	195	141	1,111	1,447
E96	1995	239	168	1,225	1,632
E145	1994° ¹	211	188	1,160	1,559
E169	1987	156	61	394	611
E185	1982	161	74	231	466
E260	1996	201	47	355	603
E283	1991(1999)	219	141	882	1,242
E408	1971	183	118	558	859
E424	1971	174	93	583	850
E606	1980	220	369	1,220	1,809
E681	1985	163	99	982	1,244
E695	1979(1997) ^{•1}	148	39	346	533
E711	1987(1992)	190	139	699	1,028
E773	1997	153	43	245	441
E774	1997	185	92	1,030	1,307
E776	1987(1992)	157	57	415	629
E885	1988	142	62	260	464
E1337	1990(1996)	155	60	582	797
F462	1979(1999)	200	0	0	200
F478	1992(1999)	148	53	275	476
F631	1980(1985)	135	36	214	385
F631	1993	126	60	218	404
F682	1982a(1988)	202	43	180	425
F715	1981(1986)	140	54	204	398
F715	1995	132	47	173	352
F722	1982(1988)	223	54	230	507

' Stateme	Statement of Material Facts			ant Public Res	source's
		PRO Website	Downloads from	Internet Archive	
Standard	Edition	Views	PRO Website	Views	Impact
F808	1983(1988) ^{•1}	139	33	85	257
F1003	1986(1992)	154	36	139	329
F1006	1986(1997)	159	31	126	316
F1007	1986(1996) ^{•1}	179	41	153	373
F1014	1992	164	37	170	371
F1020	1986(1996) ^{•1}	160	40	159	359
F1120	1987(1998)	223	36	284	543
F1121	1987(1998)	231	43	325	599
F1122	1987(1998)	167	48	284	499
F1123	1987(1998)	213	78	268	559
F1139	1988(1998)	203	57	218	478
F1155	1998	215	225	702	1,142
F1172	1988(1998)	161	38	190	389
F1173	1995	204	56	235	495
F1199	1988(1998)	185	41	271	497
F1200	1988(1998)	187	49	0	236
F1201	1988(1998)	154	48	157	359
F1271	1990(1995)°1	145	52	137	334
F1273	1991(1996) ^{•1}	197	38	229	464
F1321	1992	233	73	642	948
F1323	1998	179	29	114	322
F1471	1993	158	40	323	521
F1546/F 1546M	1996	164	28	215	407
F1548	1994	173	45	165	383
F1951	1999	218	0	0	218
G21	1990	225	161	1,441	1,827
G151	1997	225	229	1,000	1,454
G154	2000a	234	583	3,065	3,882

99. The cumulative impact of PRO's reproduction and display of ASTM's standards on its own website and on Internet Archive represents more than 7 times ASTM's total views across all six years combined. *Compare* Wise Decl. ¶ 158, Ex. 157 at ASTM103291, *with* Wise Decl. ¶ 163, Ex. 162 at PRO_00245530 *and* Wise Decl. ¶ 164, Ex. 163 at PRO 00232651.

Disputed. The citations do not establish the facts claimed. Plaintiffs have no evidence of any "impact" on their sales or viewership, as evidenced by the consistently low viewership figures for the ASTM reading room. ASTM's low viewership for its reading room proves ASTM has succeeded in making its reading room and as a result citizens cannot rely on it. This is evidenced by the fact that even during late 2015 through mid-2018 when Public Resource was subject to the injunction, the viewership rates were still paltry. Instead, ASTM's viewership figures show that Public Resource has not had an effect on usage of the ASTM reading room, and it disproves any possible argument that people would have used ASTM's reading room, but for the availability of standards through Public Resource. Additionally, Plaintiffs cannot claim harm to viewership of standards on their reading rooms, when

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	Plaintiffs' purpose in setting up the reading rooms was principally to use them as argumentative tools for influencing policymakers to refrain from mandating disclosure of the standards incorporated into law. Public Resource's use does not affect that purpose.
100. With respect to NFPA, revenue is somewhat cyclical with publications, but in recent years, NFPA's revenue from the sale of standards has been declining. NFPA attributes this decline, at least in part, to PRO's making copies of NFPA's standards widely available, including for use by those same industry professionals who would otherwise purchase copies or digital subscription access. Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 38.	Disputed to the extent that NFPA attributes any decline in its sales to Public Resource's activities. NFPA's sales figures show that its sales have been in steady decline for years before Public Resource first posted an NFPA standard. Becker Decl. Ex. 77. Other than NFPA's CEO's unsupported assertion, NFPA has no evidence that Public Resource's activities have cost it any sales—even though Public Resource posted its first NFPA standard in 2008, eleven years ago. Moreover, NFPA is correct that its sales data shows its sales are cyclical: sales are highest in the first and second year of publication, and then decline steadily. In contrast, the standards at issue are outdated.
101. NFPA's licenses likely would lose significant value if the licensees or their customers could obtain the same material from PRO in digital format, without cost, and without restrictions on further dissemination. Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 36.	Disputed. NFPA's claim that its licenses "likely would" lose value is disproven by the fact that there is no evidence they have lost value during the first six years that Public Resource was posting the standards at issue online "in digital format, without cost, and without restrictions on further dissemination." Nor is there evidence that the value of those license increased when Public Resource was enjoined from posting, or that the value again dropped after the Court of Appeals vacated the injunction and indicated that posting the law is likely to be a fair use. In fact, NFPA states that it does not have a number on any balance sheet that corresponds to the value of the copyrights it holds because NFPA does not "attempt to place any value on any intangible asset." M. Becker Decl. ¶ 9, Ex. 11 (Mullen

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	Dep. 140:11–18).
102. A review of the Internet Archive websites to which PRO posted its versions of Plaintiffs' Works reveals that the 2011 National Electrical Code has been "View[ed]," which includes being accessed or downloaded, 40,151 times since originally uploaded. Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 31; Wise Decl. ¶ 168, Ex. 167 at 5. This is an increase of nearly 10,000 in the 13 months since PRO has reposted this standard. SMF ¶ 242 (NFPA's 2011 NEC was downloaded 30,350 times from the Internet Archive website) (citing Rubel Decl. ¶ 5, Ex. 2 (Rule 30(b)(6) Dep. of Public Resource at 254:14-256:16)); Rubel Decl. ¶ 43, Ex. 39 (Ex. 51 to Rule 30(b)(6) Dep. of Public Resource).	Disputed to the extent that the asserted "View[ed]", "downloaded", and "accessed" figures do not distinguish actual human downloads or accesses, as opposed to automated processes such as web crawlers and bots.
PRO's Conduct Threatens Further Harm from Widespread Anonymous Dissemination	Disputed for the reasons addressed below.
103. The Internet Archive website is among the top 300 most-visited websites in the world by alexa.com rankings and millions of people visit the Internet Archive every day. <i>See</i> Butler Decl. ¶ 4.	
104. Neither PRO nor the Internet Archive keeps information regarding the individuals and entities that download and use PRO's versions of Plaintiffs' Works or the reasons that they do so. PRO has "adopted a policy of not talking to its users and not answering any questions or asking questions" of those users regarding Plaintiffs' Works. Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 23. Likewise, the Internet Archive does not keep records identifying information regarding the individuals who use or download PRO's versions of Plaintiffs' Standards. Butler Decl. ¶¶ 4, 9 ("as a general matter we avoid keeping the IP (Internet Protocol) addresses of our readers" and "[aside from counting the number of	Immaterial, but disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs imply that Public Resource's and the Internet Archive's practice of not unnecessarily collecting and storing user information is anything other than a best practice for treating user information on the Internet.

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
users] Internet Archive does not otherwise monitor how users view, access, download, or otherwise use the content posted on the websites").	
105. Accordingly, PRO's download information is under-inclusive because it fails to account for versions of its standards re-posted by PRO's users. For example, Scribd.com is a subscription service in which users must sign up for premium access to view beyond the PRO cover page. Wise Decl. ¶ 155, Ex. 154.	
Figure 24 dor media Unicock Full Access Free Tri Continue Reading	with a 30 Day al
106. The open publishing forum at Scribd.com contains dozens of ASTM works bearing the PRO cover sheet. Wise Decl. ¶ 154, Ex. 153.	
107. PRO's postings threaten Plaintiffs' ability to control the further dissemination and use of its standards. Because PRO offers unrestricted and anonymous access to standards in multiple formats, Plaintiffs cannot easily track down those individuals who use PRO's versions of NFPA's standards for their commercial businesses, or for sale to other individuals and entities. Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 37.	Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs assert they should have the "ability to control the further dissemination and use" of the law. Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs assert that they "cannot easily track down those individuals who use PRO's versions of NFPA's standards for their commercial businesses," when Plaintiffs have not shown an attempt to identify a single such individual or business, which presumably they would be in a strong position to investigate by asking their many thousands of members. Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs assert they cannot track individuals who allegedly sell standards acquired from the Public Resource website, when they should be able to do so via a simple

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
	Internet search (for instance, by searching for Plaintiffs' names and text found in Public Resource's disclaimers).
C. PRO Does Not Make Use of Internet Archive's Features That Would Protect Plaintiffs' Standards	
108. Although Internet Archive has the functionality to provide access to books through "borrowing," which allows registered users to "borrow books for two weeks, after which the loaned item expires and is removed from the user's device," PRO does not use this function. Butler Decl. ¶ 5.	
109. Although Internet Archive has the functionality to offer access to the blind and print disabled in Digital Accessible Information System (DAISY) format protected by encryption and accessible through a key provided by the Library of Congress National Library Service to residents of the United States and American citizens abroad, PRO offers DAISY format <i>without</i> any encryption. Butler Decl. ¶ 6.	
D. Injunctive Relief Is Necessary	Disputed. Plaintiffs have adduced no evidence of irreparable harm sufficient to justify an injunction.
110. PRO has and will continue to post versions of additional standards owned by Plaintiffs if not enjoined. For example, PRO posted the 2017 edition of the NEC in January 2019. Supp. Pauley Decl. ¶ 30; Wise Decl. ¶ 168, Ex. 167 at 6.	Disputed to the extent that Public Resource has said only that it will post documents that have been made law. The 2017 edition of the NEC is now the law of numerous states, as NFPA's own materials show.
111. PRO has limited financial resources available to pay any damages award because it is dependent on fundraising for it activities. PRO Answer, Dkt. 21 at ¶¶ 46-47 ("[nearly] all of	Disputed to the extent that Plaintiffs have failed to enumerate their damages in a way that shows that Public Resource's funds are not sufficient to cover the damages actually

Plaintiffs' Statement of Material Facts	Defendant Public Resource's Response
PRO's funding comes from charitable donations"). PRO describes itself as a "charity." Wise Decl. ¶ 165, Ex. 164 at Interrog. 22.	attributable to Public Resource's activities. Plaintiffs' statement assumes large but vague damages of an amount that somehow outstrips what is possible to raise through nonprofit fundraising, without foundation or justification for that assumption.
112. At the initial summary judgment hearing, when asked what would be an appropriate remedy, counsel for PRO responded "I am not able to say." Transcript of Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment, Dkt. 173 at 133:16 (Sept. 12, 2016).	Immaterial and Incomplete. Counsel for PRO also responded: "Let me be clear. Public.Resource would take direction from this Court. Logos: yes or no? It doesn't care. It simply tried to replicate the law which consists of these documents incorporated by reference." Dkt. 173 at 116:22-25.

Dated: November 12, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Andrew P. Bridges

Andrew P. Bridges (USDC-DC AR0002) abridges@fenwick.com Matthew B. Becker (admitted *pro hac vice*) mbecker@fenwick.com Armen N. Nercessian (pending *pro hac vice*) anercessian@fenwick.com Shannon E. Turner (pending *pro hac vice*) sturner@fenwick.com FENWICK & WEST LLP 801 California Street Mountain View, CA 94041 Telephone: (650) 988-8500 Facsimile: (650) 938-5200

Corynne McSherry (admitted *pro hac vice*) corynne@eff.org Mitchell L. Stoltz (D.C. Bar No. 978149) mitch@eff.org ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION 815 Eddy Street San Francisco, CA 94109 Telephone: (415) 436-9333 Facsimile: (415) 436-9993

David Halperin (D.C. Bar No. 426078) davidhalperindc@gmail.com 1530 P Street NW CSRL 2nd Floor Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 905-3434

Attorneys for Defendant-Counterclaimant Public.Resource.Org, Inc.

B9620/00403/FW/11117828.3